GSJ: Volume 11, Issue 10, October 2023, Online: ISSN 2320-9186

www.globalscientificjournal.com

Examining the Influence of Corporate Governance Practices on Management and Leadership Styles: A Case Study of Pabod International Breweries Ltd. in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Ugwu Nnenna Anthonia ,ESQ.
The University of America Curacao
Willemstad, United Kingdom of Netherland
nneugwu@gmail.com

Abstract:

The link between corporate governance standards and management and leadership styles is crucial in the dynamic and quickly changing business world of today. This article examines how corporate governance practices have impacted the management and leadership styles of Pabod International Breweries Ltd., a significant participant in the beverage business in Nigeria, through a case study, Adegbite, (2011) A crucial component of contemporary business organizations, corporate governance shapes how businesses are operated, decisions are made, and stakeholders' interests are protected. Because of its varied economic and cultural environments, Nigeria offers special opportunities as well as problems for corporate governance. The company Pabod International Breweries Ltd., which is well-known for both its outstanding performance in the cutthroat Nigerian market and its dedication to best practices in corporate governance, is the subject of this case study. We want to comprehend how the governance structure, policies, and practices of the company have impacted the way management and leadership function within the company by thoroughly examining these aspects, Alvesson & Spicer, (2016). An analysis of Pabod International Breweries Ltd.'s corporate governance processes will include important topics such as stakeholder participation, board composition, accountability, and transparency. We hope to learn more about how corporate governance affects the company's management and leadership styles by evaluating these variables. Additionally, the performance, sustainability, and reputation of Pabod International Breweries Ltd. will be examined, along with the wider effects of the company's corporate governance strategy. In order to give readers a thorough knowledge of the company's corporate governance policies and how they affect management and leadership styles, the case study will incorporate insights from interviews with important stakeholders, workers, and industry experts, Aras& Crowther, (2008).

Keywords: Examining, Corporate Governance, Management, Leadership Styles.

I.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1. Introduction and Background:

In the bustling landscape of modern businesses, the influence of corporate governance practices on management strategies and leadership styles has become a pivotal area of exploration. The intricate interplay between these elements shapes the very essence of successful organizations, defining their ethical compass, decision-making frameworks, and long-term sustainability. Our focus on this dynamic relationship brings us to a compelling case study, Pabod International Breweries Plc, a prominent entity in the vibrant tapestry of the brewing industry, Ahauwan, (2002).

Pabod International Breweries Plc stands as a beacon of entrepreneurial excellence, operating amidst the challenges and opportunities inherent in the brewing sector. Nestled in the heart of Port Harcourt, Nigeria, this company has not only become synonymous with quality beverages but also embodies the spirit of responsible corporate citizenship. As we delve into this case study, we recognize the profound impact that corporate governance practices have on the strategies employed by the brewery's management team and the leadership styles embraced by its visionary leaders, Admanti, (2017).

The brewing industry, like many others, operates within a complex ecosystem of regulations, market demands, and societal expectations. Against this backdrop, corporate governance practices serve as the guiding principles that steer Pabod International Breweries Plc through this maze. Ethical decision-making, transparent operations, and stakeholder engagement are not just buzzwords here; they are the foundations upon which the company’s success story is built.

Background of study

This study embarks on an immersive journey into the corridors of Pabod International Breweries Plc, aiming to unravel the intricacies of how governance practices permeate every aspect of the organization. By delving into real-time scenarios, engaging with key stakeholders, and scrutinizing the policies that govern this esteemed brewery, we endeavor to unearth valuable insights. Our objective is to not only analyze the existing governance frameworks but also to decipher how these practices resonate within the management strategies and leadership styles adopted within the company, Wu & Hsu, (2014).

As we unfold the layers of this case study, we aim to offer not just a glimpse but a comprehensive understanding of how the governance philosophy of Pabod International Breweries Plc influences the decisions made by its management team that shapes the visionary leadership styles of its top executives. This exploration is more than an academic exercise; it is a testament to the ever-evolving dynamics of corporate governance in action.

Through this journey, we seek to bridge the gap between theory and practice, offering a tangible perspective on how effective governance practices translate into strategic excellence and transformative leadership. By immersing ourselves in the unique context of Pabod International Breweries Plc, we endeavor to provide a holistic narrative that not only enriches academic discourse but also imparts practical wisdom to the broader corporate world, Hayes, (2018)

1.2. Corporate Governance and its Significance

In the intricate web of modern corporate landscapes, where organizations are diverse, stakeholders are numerous, and the global economy is ever-evolving, the concept of corporate governance stands as a sentinel of responsible business conduct. Corporate governance is more than just a buzzword or a set of rules and regulations; it represents the heartbeat of ethical, effective, and sustainable business practices.

Picture a world where businesses have immense power to impact economies, societies, and the environment. In this world, corporate governance serves as the compass, guiding these entities toward a balanced and ethical path. It's the framework that upholds principles of transparency, accountability, fairness, and integrity within organizations, ensuring that they operate not only for profit but also for the betterment of the communities they serve, Hayes, (2018).

The roots of corporate governance stretch deep into history, but its contemporary importance has surged in response to various financial scandals and corporate failures that shook the trust of investors, employees, and the public at large. In the aftermath of such crises, the call for robust corporate governance practices has grown louder, prompting both regulatory bodies and businesses themselves to revisit and reinforce the principles that underpin responsible management, Spicers, (2017).

In this article, we embark on a journey through the multifaceted world of corporate governance, exploring its significance in today's corporate realm. We will unravel the layers of this concept, examining how it shapes decision-making processes, influences corporate culture, and affects the relationships between an organization and its stakeholders. Moreover, we will delve into real-world examples and case studies to illustrate how corporate governance can make or break a company's reputation and long-term viability.

Join us as we traverse the intricate terrain of corporate governance, uncovering its significance not only for businesses but also for the global economy, society, and the ethical fabric of the corporate world. In an era marked by unprecedented challenges and opportunities, understanding and embracing the principles of corporate governance is not just a choice but an imperative for organizations aiming to thrive and make a positive impact in our interconnected world, Turner & Webb, (2012).

1.3 The Significance of Corporate Governance

In the complex and interconnected world of modern business, corporate governance stands as a beacon of significance, guiding organizations toward responsible, ethical, and sustainable practices. Beyond a mere regulatory requirement, it represents the moral compass that influences decision-making, transparency, accountability, and the very essence of corporate culture. In this essay, we delve into the profound significance of corporate governance, exploring its multifaceted roles and undeniable impact on the business landscape, (Bryman & Bell (2003).

1.3.1 Fostering Trust and Credibility:

Trust is the currency of business, and corporate governance is its guardian. By adhering to governance principles, companies demonstrate their commitment to transparency and integrity, reassuring investors, stakeholders, and the public that their interests are safeguarded. This trust is the bedrock upon which fruitful business relationships and investments are built.

1.3.2. Enhancing Organizational Efficiency:

Corporate governance streamlines decision-making processes and ensures that responsibilities and accountabilities are clearly defined. This not only reduces inefficiencies but also enables organizations to adapt more swiftly to changes in the competitive landscape. Well-governed companies tend to be nimbler and more resilient.

1.3.3. Mitigating Risk:

An integral facet of corporate governance is risk management. By establishing robust risk assessment mechanisms and internal controls, organizations can identify potential threats and take preemptive measures to mitigate them. This safeguards the company's long-term viability and protects stakeholders' interests.

1.3.4. Promoting Long-Term Sustainability:

Corporate governance compels companies to think beyond short-term gains and focus on long-term sustainability. It encourages the incorporation of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into business strategies, aligning the organization's goals with broader societal interests.

1.3.5. Ensuring Ethical Leadership:

Strong corporate governance fosters ethical leadership within organizations. It holds leaders accountable for their actions and decisions, discouraging unethical practices that could harm both the company and its stakeholders. Ethical leadership, in turn, enhances corporate reputation and sustainability.

1.3.6. Attracting Investment and Capital:

Companies with effective corporate governance are more attractive to investors and capital providers. These stakeholders are more likely to invest in organizations where they have confidence that their investments will be managed responsibly and ethically.

1.3.7. Adhering to Regulatory Compliance:

In an increasingly regulated business environment, corporate governance is essential for ensuring compliance with a multitude of laws and regulations. Non-compliance can result in legal repercussions and reputational damage.

1.3.8. Strengthening Stakeholder Engagement:

Corporate governance encourages open and transparent communication with stakeholders, including shareholders, employees, customers, and communities. Engaging with these diverse groups fosters a sense of ownership and shared responsibility, creating a more inclusive and responsive corporate culture.

Corporate governance is not a mere box-ticking exercise; it is the cornerstone of responsible and sustainable business practices in the 21st century. Its significance transcends financial metrics and extends to the heart of ethical leadership, trust, and accountability. As businesses navigate an ever-changing global landscape, those who recognize and embrace the profound importance of corporate governance will not only thrive but also contribute positively to society, leaving a lasting legacy of ethical and responsible business practices for future generations. In an era where the actions of corporations have far-reaching consequences, corporate governance remains the compass that guides them toward a better, more responsible future, Moon & Choi, (2017).

2.0. RATIONALE FOR THE CASE STUDY

The choice to conduct a case study on Pabod International Breweries Plc in Port Harcourt, Nigeria, is grounded in several compelling reasons, each of which underscores the significance and relevance of this particular investigation. Below, we outline the rationale for selecting Pabod International Breweries Ltd. as the subject of this case study:

2.1. Relevance in the Nigerian Business Landscape:

Pabod International Breweries Ltd. holds a prominent position in the Nigerian beverage industry. It is a significant player in a sector that plays a pivotal role in the country's economy and consumer culture. Understanding the corporate governance practices and their influence on management and leadership styles in such a context is invaluable, as it sheds light on broader trends and challenges within the Nigerian business landscape.

2.1.1. Corporate Governance as a National Priority:

Nigeria, like many emerging economies, has recognized the pivotal role of corporate governance in economic development, investor confidence, and stakeholder protection. In recent years, the Nigerian government and regulatory bodies have been actively working to strengthen corporate governance practices. A case study of a company like Pabod International Breweries Ltd. can provide insights into how these efforts translate into practical implementation and impact, Adegbite & Nakajjimo, (2011BB).

2.1.2. Demonstrated Commitment to Corporate Governance:

Pabod International Breweries Ltd. has established a reputation for its commitment to corporate governance best practices. This commitment aligns with the global trend of businesses recognizing the importance of responsible corporate governance. By examining the company's governance structure, policies, and practices, we can gain valuable insights into how such principles are upheld in a real-world setting.

2.1.3. **Unique Challenges and Opportunities:**

Nigeria, as a diverse and rapidly evolving market, presents unique challenges and opportunities for businesses. Factors such as cultural diversity, regulatory changes, and economic volatility make it an intriguing environment for studying corporate governance. Pabod International Breweries Ltd.'s experiences in navigating these challenges and leveraging opportunities can offer valuable lessons for other businesses operating in similar contexts.

2.1.4. **Impact on Organizational Performance:**

Corporate governance is increasingly recognized as a critical factor influencing organizational performance, sustainability, and reputation. A case study of Pabod International Breweries Ltd. allows us to explore how governance practices have shaped the company's performance, including its financial success, market competitiveness, and stakeholder relationships.

2.1.5. **Learning and Best Practices Sharing:**

As businesses strive to improve their corporate governance practices, sharing success stories and lessons learned from companies like Pabod International Breweries Ltd. can contribute to the collective knowledge of effective governance. This case study aims to provide actionable insights and best practices that can be applied by other organizations seeking to enhance their governance frameworks.

Finally, the case study of Pabod International Breweries Ltd. in Port Harcourt, Nigeria, is a relevant and timely exploration of corporate governance's influence on management and leadership styles in a dynamic and challenging business environment. It offers a window into the practical implementation of governance principles, the impact on organizational dynamics, and the broader implications for the Nigerian business landscape. Ultimately, the rationale for this case study lies in its potential to contribute to a deeper understanding of corporate governance's role in shaping responsible and effective business practices.

3.0.1. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:

In the dynamic world of modern business, where ethical conduct and responsible leadership are under constant scrutiny, this study seeks to achieve a comprehensive understanding of how corporate governance practices influence the styles of management and leadership within organizations. The primary objectives of this research are as follows:

- **Assess the prevalence of corporate governance practices:** To examine the extent to which corporate governance practices are implemented within a diverse range of organizations, spanning different sectors and geographic locations.
- **dentify key corporate governance indicators:** To pinpoint the specific corporate governance indicators and practices that are most commonly adopted across various industries and organizational sizes.
- **Examine management and leadership styles:** To explore the prevalent management and leadership styles within organizations, considering factors such as decision-making approaches, communication methods, and leadership philosophies.
- **Analyze the relationship between corporate governance and leadership styles:** To investigate the correlation between the presence of robust corporate governance practices and the styles of management and leadership observed within organizations.
- **Assessing the Role of Ethical Leadership:** To evaluate the role of ethical leadership in organizations with strong corporate governance practices and how it influences decision-making, employee engagement, and stakeholder trust.

- **Examine industry-specific variations:** To discern whether industry-specific factors, regulations, or market conditions have a significant impact on the relationship between corporate governance practices and management and leadership styles.
- **Explore the Influence on Long-Term Sustainability:** To analyze how the presence of effective corporate governance practices contributes to the long-term sustainability and performance of organizations, including their ability to adapt to changing environments.
- **Recommend Best Practices and Insights:** To distill key findings into actionable insights and best practices that organizations can use to enhance their corporate governance frameworks and align management and leadership styles with ethical and responsible principles. By accomplishing these objectives, this study aspires to shed light on the intricate interplay between corporate governance practices and the evolving landscape of management and leadership styles. The findings are expected to provide valuable insights for organizations, policymakers, and scholars, facilitating more informed decision-making and contributing to the ongoing discourse on responsible and effective business leadership.

4.0. LITERATURE REVIEW AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

4.1. Overview of Pabod International Breweries PLC

International Breweries Plc was incorporated in December 1971 by its founder and first Chairman, Dr. Lawrence Omole under the name International Breweries Limited. The Company commenced production of its flagship product Trophy Lager in December 1978 with an installed capacity of 200,000 hectoliters per annum. Following the increasing demand for its products, in December 1982 the Company embarked on an expansion programme to increase its capacity to 500,000 hectoliters annually. The company was listed on the floor of the Nigerian Stock Exchange in April 1995.

In 2008 the Warsteiner Group sold its majority shareholding to the Castel Group and in January 2012 SABMiller Plc entered into a strategic alliance with the Castel group. During this period, significant investment was made which transformed the company and provided it with a solid foundation for growth and profitability. Starting with just two brands, Trophy Lager and Betamalt the Company has since 2010 introduced Grand Malt, grand lager, Hero Lager, Castle Milk Stout, Castle Lite, Redds, Eagle lager, Eagle Stout and most recently the introduction of premium brands like Budweiser.

In 2017 the Company through a scheme of merger sanctioned by the Federal High Court, merged with Intafact Beverages Limited and Pabod Breweries Limited (companies with similar objects) in other to provide for the optimization of efficiencies, leverage on economies of scale and ensure shareholder value creation amongst others.

4.2. Mission - Vision Statement:

At Pabod International Breweries Ltd., we are unwaveringly dedicated to producing outstanding drinks that enhance our consumers' lives, build stronger communities, and support the economic development of Nigeria. Our goal is to raise the bar for excellence in the beverage business by designing and delivering products that are responsible, innovative, and of the highest calibre.

We work hard to give our patrons delightful, satisfying moments of refreshment by fusing innovation and tradition to create drinks that appeal to a wide range of national tastes and preferences. Our steadfast commitment to sustainability and quality is evident in every facet of our business, from locating the best ingredients to developing an ethical and moral culture.

We work to reduce our ecological footprint and increase our positive social effect as stewards of the environment and proponents of ethical business practices. Our goal is to set an example of moral leadership by empowering our staff, assisting our stakeholders, and building a sustainable future for future generations.

4.3. Vision Proposition:

At Pabod International Breweries Ltd., our vision is to be the leading beverage company in Nigeria, recognized for our uncompromising dedication to quality, creativity, and social responsibility. We want to be the go-to option for customers, known for our extensive selection of premium drinks that unite people, spark joyous moments, and capture the dynamic essence of our country.

We see a time when our goods will still be used to quench millions of people's thirst while also improving health and wellbeing. Our commitment to innovation pushes us to continuously adapt, foreseeing and surpassing our customers' shifting tastes. Our goal is to be the industry leader in sustainability, establishing standards for ethical sourcing, effective production, and involvement in the community.

Our vision goes beyond what our company does. The goal of our organization is to enhance communities in Nigeria by promoting environmental stewardship, empowerment, and education. Our mission directs us to be a reliable partner, a conscientious corporate citizen, and a source of pride for every Nigerian as we set out on our path.

5.0. PABOD INTERNATIONAL BREWERIES LTD.'S

GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

International Breweries has announced the composition of a new board of directors. This is the sequel to the merger of Intafact Beverages Limited and Pabod Breweries. The new board of directors is to reflect the new ownership structure of the company. The company also announced a change in registered address, which was previously Lawrence Omole Way, Ilesa, Osun State, to 22/36 Glover Road, Ikoyi, Lagos.

Igwe Naemeka Alfred Achebe will be the new board's chair. He replaces Mr. Sunday Omole, who has stepped down as the chairman of the company.

Shareholders also approved the appointment of **Annabelle Degroot** as the new managing director. Degroot was the Managing Director of Zambian Breweries Plc prior to joining IB Plc. She holds a Bachelor of Arts and a Master’s degree in Economics from Cambridge University. She is a qualified chartered accountant from the Institute of Chartered Accountants.

Zuber Momoniat was also appointed Finance Director of the company.Momoniat holds a National Diploma in Biotechnology from the Witwatersrand Technikon in South Africa and a B.Com. in accounting as well as financial accounting from the University of Witwatersrand in Johannesburg, South Africa. He was the Finance Manager at PABOD Breweries Limited (a subsidiary of ABInbev), from where he was appointed as the Finance Director for International Breweries Plc.

HRM Igwe Peter Nwokike Anugwu, JP, OFR, Non-Executive Director:

He holds a diploma in agricultural engineering from the School of Agriculture, Umudike, in Abia State. He is a traditional ruler of the ancient Mbaukwu Kingdom in Anambra State and a member of the State Traditional Rulers Council and the Elders Council of Anambra State. He is currently the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Julius Berger Services Nigeria Limited.

Michael Onochie Ajukwu, Non-Executive Director:

He holds a BSc (Finance) from the prestigious University of Lagos and an MBA from New York University. He served as an Executive Director, Corporate Banking, with United Bank for Africa. Ajukwu has over 21 years of experience in the banking industry, with a specialty in the energy and multinational sectors. He serves as Chairman of Altech West Africa Limited, Munca Properties Limited, and Mobax Nigeria Ltd. He has been an independent director of Tiger Brands Limited since 2015.

Abiye Tobin-West, Non-Executive Director

As a highly experienced graduate of economics with bachelors and masters degrees, Tobin-West is well versed in issues of taxation and management of investment portfolios, including recapitalization and divestments. Abiye Tobin-West is a life member of the Economic Society of Nigeria, a fellow of the Chartered Institute of Taxation, and a member of the Institute of Cost and Management Accountants.

Phillip Redman, Non-Executive Director

Phillip Redman holds an MBA from the London Business School and a Master's degree in Mechanical engineering from the University of Bristol, UK. Phil joined the then SABMiller, now AB InBev, in 2012 as an innovation manager in the group office in London. He is currently the general manager at Accra Breweries Limited.

5.1. Board Composition and Roles

The company's management and activities are overseen by and under the direction of the board of directors. The board's responsibility is to advance the interests of the group and the shareholders by supervising the management and orderly conduct of business. The Audit Committee and the Human Resources Committee are two of the Board of Directors committees. The board has approved a charter outlining how it will function. The Board of Directors has also approved the charters for both committees. Until the General Meeting, which releases the Board of Directors members and the President from accountability, the Board of Directors is in charge of the organization's affairs.

The required preparations for bookkeeping and financial administration, as well as the management and correct structure of the business's operations, fall under the purview of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors routinely evaluates the validity of its authorized charter. The Board of Directors is responsible for overseeing and controlling the group's and the company's activities, as well as approving the main goals, strategies, and operational principles, in accordance with the corporate charter. The group's finances, risk management, and operations are all under the direction of the board of directors, Adegbite, (2012).

The composition and roles of the Board of Directors at Pabod International Breweries Ltd. are crucial components of its corporate governance framework. This oversight body plays a pivotal role in setting strategic direction, ensuring transparency, and safeguarding the interests of stakeholders. Here, we provide an overview of the board's composition and key roles within the organization:

1. **Chairman of the Board:**

The Chairman of the Board holds a prominent leadership position and is typically a non-executive director. This individual presides over board meetings, ensuring their effectiveness and adherence to corporate governance principles. The chairman often serves as a bridge between the board and executive management, facilitating communication and collaboration.

2**. Non-Executive Directors:**

Non-executive directors make up a significant portion of the board. They are individuals who are not actively involved in the day-to-day operations of the company. Instead, they provide an external perspective, bringing diverse expertise from various sectors. Non-executive directors play a critical role in governance oversight, decision-making, and holding management accountable.

3. **Executive Directors:**

Executive directors are typically members of the company's senior management team who also serve on the board. They offer valuable insights into the organization's operations, strategy, and performance. While they contribute operational expertise, they must balance their roles as executives and directors to prevent conflicts of interest.

4. **Independent Directors:**

According to regulatory authorities and corporate governance codes, independent directors are non-executive directors who meet specific criteria for independence. They are essential for ensuring impartiality and objectivity in board decisions. Independent directors often serve on key board committees, such as audit and compensation.

5.2. . Board Committees:

The board may establish various committees to address specific governance and oversight functions. Common board committees include:

a. Audit Committee: Responsible for overseeing financial reporting, internal controls, and risk management.
b. Nomination and Governance Committee: Manages board nominations, director evaluations, and governance policies.
c. Compensation Committee: Determines executive compensation, including salaries, bonuses, and stock options.
d. Stakeholder Relations Committee: Focuses on engaging with stakeholders and addressing their concerns.

5.3. **Responsibilities and Duties:**

The board's primary responsibilities and duties include:

a. Setting the company's strategic direction and approving major business decisions.
b. Ensuring financial accountability and monitoring financial performance.
c. Appointing, evaluating, and, if necessary, replacing senior management.
d. Overseeing compliance with laws, regulations, and corporate governance standards.
e. Safeguarding the interests of shareholders and other stakeholders.
f. Promoting ethical conduct and corporate social responsibility

5.4. **Decision-Making and Governance Oversight:**

The board makes decisions through regular meetings, which are typically held quarterly or as needed. These meetings provide a forum for discussing critical issues, reviewing financial reports, and setting strategic goals. Governance oversight involves monitoring executive actions, assessing risks, and ensuring that the company operates ethically and responsibly.

In conclusion, the board composition and roles at Pabod International Breweries Ltd. reflect a commitment to corporate governance best practices. By blending diverse expertise, ensuring independence, and upholding ethical conduct, the board plays a central role in guiding the company's strategic direction and ensuring its long-term success while safeguarding the interests of all stakeholders.

6.0. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK (ELEMENTS AND DEFINITION)

6.1. Corporate Governance Practices:

Corporate governance practices refer to the set of rules, regulations, processes, and ethical guidelines that dictate how a company is directed, controlled, and managed. This includes the composition and roles of the board of directors, transparency in financial reporting, adherence to legal and ethical standards, and mechanisms for ensuring accountability and shareholder protection.

6.2. Management Strategies:

Management strategies encompass the deliberate plans and actions undertaken by organizational leaders to achieve specific goals and objectives. This involves decisions related to resource allocation, organizational structure, risk management, innovation, and operational efficiency. Effective management strategies ensure the optimal utilization of resources and the alignment of organizational efforts toward overarching business objectives.

6.3. Leadership Styles:

Leadership styles represent the unique approaches and behaviors exhibited by leaders and managers in guiding, motivating, and influencing their teams. Different styles include transformational leadership (inspiring and empowering employees), transactional leadership (based on rewards and punishments), participative leadership (involving employees in decision-making), and laissez-faire leadership (hands-off approach). Leadership styles profoundly impact organizational culture, employee morale, and overall team performance.

6.4. Mediating Factors:

Mediating factors are external or internal variables that influence the relationship between corporate governance practices, management strategies, and leadership styles. These factors could include organizational culture, regulatory environment, market competition, technological advancements, and workforce diversity. Mediating factors moderate the impact of governance practices on management and leadership, leading to variations in outcomes across different contexts.

6.5. Organizational Performance and Sustainability:

Organizational performance refers to the achievement of strategic goals, financial stability, market competitiveness, customer satisfaction, and stakeholder value. Sustainability, in this context, implies the organization's ability to maintain or improve its performance over the long term while adhering to ethical, social, and environmental responsibilities. Both performance and sustainability metrics serve as indicators of the effectiveness of corporate governance practices, management strategies, and leadership styles.

6.6. Contextual Variables:

Contextual variables encompass industry-specific factors, company size, geographical location, cultural nuances, and economic conditions. These variables influence the implementation and impact of corporate governance practices, management strategies, and leadership styles within a particular organizational context. Understanding these variables is crucial for interpreting the findings and tailoring recommendations for diverse business environments.

By comprehensively examining these elements within the proposed framework, the research aims to unravel the intricate interplay between corporate governance practices, management strategies, and leadership styles, shedding light on the mechanisms that drive organizational success and sustainability in today's complex business landscape.

7.0. UNDERSTANDING CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate governance refers to the framework of regulations, principles, and procedures that guide the direction and oversight of a firm. Corporate governance pertains to the manner in which companies are governed and the underlying objectives thereof. This statement pertains to the identification of individuals or entities that possess authority and responsibility, as well as those who are responsible for making decisions. The toolkit essentially serves as a resource that empowers management and the board to address the operational difficulties associated with overseeing a firm in a more efficient manner.

Corporate governance is a vital mechanism that establishes effective decision-making procedures and regulatory measures within firms, aiming to achieve a harmonious equilibrium among many stakeholders, including shareholders, employees, suppliers, customers, and the community, Judge & Aguilera, (2008). Corporate governance encompasses the procedures through which an organization establishes and pursues its goals within the framework of societal, regulatory, and market conditions. This pertains to the methodologies and protocols employed to ensure effective management of a firm, enabling the attainment of its goals while instilling stakeholders with a sense of trust and confidence in the organization's reliability.

The Institute of Corporate Governance asserts that it serves as a hub for promoting good governance, recognizing its significance in enhancing the caliber of decision-making among company managers. High-quality, ethically-driven decision-making practices contribute to the establishment of sustainable enterprises, enhancing their ability to generate long-term value with greater efficiency, Boxer & Tan, (2000).

Corporate governance plays a fundamental role in providing a solid foundation for contemporary firms, offering guidance in navigating the complex landscape of ethical quandaries, legal complexities, and the demands of various stakeholders. Corporate governance encompasses a fundamental framework consisting of concepts, methods, and structures that regulate the direction and management of organizations. Its primary objective is to establish transparency, justice, and accountability in the operations of these entities.

7.1. Transparency and accountability

Transparency and accountability are fundamental principles that underpin the efficacy of corporate governance. Openness is required in communication, financial reporting, and decision-making processes. Transparent organizations offer stakeholders comprehensive visibility into their operational activities, financial well-being, and prospective strategies, thereby cultivating a sense of confidence and reliance among investors, employees, and the general public. In contrast, accountability serves to establish the responsibility of decision-makers inside the organization for their actions. The establishment of a chain of responsibility ensures that CEOs and board members are held accountable for their decisions and the resulting repercussions, Crainer & Dearlove, (2017).

The foundation of corporate governance is rooted in the principles of ethical conduct and integrity. The maintenance of ethical standards guarantees that firms function with principles of integrity, honesty, and fairness. The process entails compliance with legal obligations, adherence to industry-specific rules, and adherence to ethical values. Ethical corporate governance plays a crucial role in preserving a company's reputation and cultivating long-lasting relationships with customers, suppliers, and workers, thereby building a culture characterized by trust and mutual respect.

7.2. Board of Directors

The board of directors holds a crucial position in the realm of corporate governance. The board of directors, consisting of seasoned professionals and independent specialists, assumes responsibility for supervising the company's strategic orientation, risk mitigation, and adherence to regulatory requirements. A board that encompasses a variety of backgrounds and expertise facilitates the inclusion of multiple viewpoints, enabling informed decision-making that ultimately advances the interests of the organization and its stakeholders. The board of directors serves as a custodian of the shareholders' interests, effectively managing the delicate equilibrium between immediate profitability and the enduring viability of the organization, Maxwell, (2005).

7.3. Shareholder Rights

Shareholder rights encompass a set of measures under corporate governance that aim to protect and uphold the interests and influence of shareholders in important decision-making processes inside a corporation. Shareholders possess a set of entitlements encompassing the exercise of voting privileges insignificant affairs, access to pertinent information, and the prerogative to elect individuals to the board of directors. The act of safeguarding these aforementioned rights enables shareholders to effectively engage in the process of creating the strategic trajectory of the company, thereby cultivating a feeling of ownership and active involvement.

7.4. Corporate Social Responsibility

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is a concept that has gained prominence in contemporary times, as it signifies the expansion of corporate governance beyond mere financial considerations to encompass social and environmental obligations. There is a growing expectation for companies to make constructive contributions to society. Corporate social responsibility (CSR) programs, which encompass activities such as environmental protection and community development, serve as tangible demonstrations of an organization's dedication to ethical governance. Organizations exhibit their commitment to fostering a sustainable, inclusive, and responsible business environment by incorporating corporate social responsibility (CSR) into their operations.

Comprehending corporate governance holds significant importance not only for business executives but also for investors, employees, and the general public. The aforementioned statement encapsulates the fundamental values of equity, openness, and ethical conduct that serve as the foundation for effective and conscientious business operations. These principles contribute to a vision of a future in which enterprises flourish while adhering to the utmost levels of honesty and responsibility, Magarua, (2016).

8.0. DEFINITION AND COMPONENTS OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate governance refers to the system of rules, practices, and processes by which a company is directed and controlled. It involves balancing the interests of various stakeholders, such as shareholders, management, customers, suppliers, financiers, the government, and the community. The aim of corporate governance is to ensure that the company operates with transparency, fairness, accountability, and integrity, leading to sustainable growth and value creation while safeguarding the rights and interests of all stakeholders involved, Lablanc, (2005).

Components of Corporate Governance:

8.1. Board of Directors: The board of directors is a fundamental component of corporate governance. It comprises individuals elected by shareholders to oversee the company's management and make decisions on major company issues. The board is responsible for setting the company's strategic objectives, monitoring performance, and ensuring that the company operates ethically and legally.

8.2. Shareholders: Shareholders are the owners of the company. Corporate governance involves protecting shareholders' rights, including voting on key matters, electing board members, and receiving a fair share of profits through dividends. Shareholders play a crucial role in holding the company's management and board accountable.

8. 3. Management: Corporate governance makes sure that the management, which consists of the CEO and top executives, runs the business in the best interests of shareholders and other stakeholders. It involves effective decision-making, strategic planning, risk management, and ensuring the company's financial health and sustainability.

8. 4. Transparency and Disclosure: Transparency refers to the openness and accessibility of a company's financial and operational information. Corporate governance emphasizes the timely and accurate disclosure of relevant information to shareholders and the public. Transparent reporting builds trust among stakeholders and helps them make informed decisions.

8. 5. Ethical Business Conduct: Ethical business conduct involves adhering to moral principles, integrity, and honesty in all business dealings. Corporate governance frameworks promote ethical behavior, ensuring that the company operates in an ethical manner, treats all stakeholders fairly, and avoids conflicts of interest.

8. 6. Corporate Responsibility and Social Accountability: Corporate governance extends to the company's social and environmental responsibilities. It involves integrating sustainable and socially responsible practices into the company's operations, addressing environmental concerns, and contributing positively to the communities in which the company operates. Social accountability ensures that the company actively participates in community development and welfare initiatives.

8. 7. Compliance and Risk Management: Corporate governance frameworks include mechanisms for compliance with laws, regulations, and industry standards. It also involves robust risk management processes, identifying potential risks, and implementing strategies to mitigate these risks. Effective risk management protects the company and its stakeholders from financial and reputational harm.

A strong corporate governance framework integrates these components, ensuring that the company operates with integrity, accountability, and fairness, thereby promoting long-term sustainability and value creation for all stakeholders involved.

9.0 . MANAGEMENT STYLES AT PABOD INTERNATIONAL BREWERIES LTD.

9.1. Overview of Management Strategies and Approaches

Management strategies and approaches form the cornerstone of effective organizational leadership, guiding businesses toward their goals and objectives. These strategies encompass a wide array of methodologies and techniques employed by managers and leaders to optimize resources, enhance productivity, and foster innovation. Here is an overview of some key management strategies and approaches, Kloo & Burich, (2008):

9.1.2. **Strategic Planning:** Strategic planning involves setting long-term goals, defining strategies to achieve them, and outlining the necessary resources and actions. It encompasses analyzing the organization's strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT analysis) to formulate informed decisions. Strategic planning provides a roadmap for the organization, aligning its activities with its mission and vision.

9.1.3. **Performance Management:** Performance management focuses on measuring, monitoring, and improving individual, team, and organizational performance. It includes setting performance goals, conducting regular assessments, providing feedback, and implementing performance improvement plans. Effective performance management ensures that employees are aligned with organizational objectives, leading to enhanced productivity and efficiency.

9.1.4. **Change Management:** Change management strategies are employed when organizations undergo significant transformations, such as mergers, restructurings, or technology implementations. Change management involves preparing employees for change, addressing resistance, and ensuring a smooth transition. Successful change management strategies promote adaptability and minimize disruptions within the organization.

9.1.5. Innovation and Creativity: Innovation management involves fostering a culture of creativity and idea generation within the organization. It includes encouraging employees to propose new concepts, products, or processes, as well as implementing mechanisms to evaluate, prioritize, and implement innovative ideas. Innovation-driven organizations stay competitive by continuously evolving and meeting changing market demands.

9.1.6. Total Quality Management (TQM): Total Quality Management is a comprehensive approach that emphasizes continuous improvement, customer focus, and employee involvement. TQM strategies involve quality control measures, process optimization, and customer feedback loops. By focusing on quality at every level, TQM enhances customer satisfaction, reduces defects, and improves overall organizational performance.

9.1.7 Project Management: Project management involves planning, organizing, executing, and controlling resources to achieve specific goals within a defined timeframe. It includes defining project scopes, allocating tasks, managing budgets, and ensuring timely delivery. Effective project management ensures that initiatives are completed efficiently, meeting stakeholder expectations and organizational objectives.

9.1.8. Team Building and Leadership Development: Team building strategies focus on enhancing collaboration, communication, and mutual understanding among team members. Leadership development initiatives aim to nurture leadership skills within the organization, preparing employees for future leadership roles. Both strategies contribute to a positive organizational culture, increased employee engagement, and cohesive teamwork.

Each of these management strategies and approaches plays a vital role in shaping organizational success. Depending on the organization's goals, challenges, and industry dynamics, managers often integrate multiple strategies to create a tailored approach that addresses specific needs and fosters sustainable growth, Kang, (2007).

10.0. LEADERSHIP STYLES IN PRACTICE

10.1 Leadership Style

The term "leadership style" has been used extensively in the literature. A leadership style, according to Hersey and Blanchard (1981, p. 34), is the consistent behavior pattern that you exhibit when attempting to influence others. A leader's style is also described as a leader's method to accomplish the objective (Stojkovic, Kalinich, & Klofas 2003). According to Casimir (2001, p. 246), a leader's style is a pattern of emphasis that is based on how much emphasis they place on particular leadership behaviors or dispositions. In summation, leaders use a leadership style to accomplish an organization's objectives (Stojkovic et al. 2003).

As Armstrong (2012) defined it, managers' leadership styles are used while exercising their leadership responsibilities. When a leader engages in certain behaviors to inspire their subordinates to accomplish their goals, motivational leadership takes action (Ng'ethe et al. 2012). In today's companies, the way a leader conducts himself is critical. Autocratic, laissez-faire, charismatic, transactional, and transformational leadership styles are examples of leadership styles (Mohammed & Hossein 2006).

The method and way a manager or supervisor behave toward their workers or subordinates and how they carry out the leadership function are referred to as leadership style (Mullins 2000). As Armstrong (2012) points out, there is no superior leadership style, but that style differs depending on various variables.Such variables include the kind of organization, the demands of the job, the qualities of the people on the leader's team, the group that the leader commands, and, perhaps most significantly, the personalities of the leaders themselves. Furthermore, according to Adair (2003), it is risky to imply that one leadership style is more suitable than another in a particular circumstance.

He contends that the situation at hand will determine the leadership approach taken. This indicates that there isn't a single leadership style universally regarded as the greatest. Yeh and Hong (2012) and Bass (1985) claim that transformational leadership and transactional leadership are the two leadership styles that successful leaders should use to motivate people to accomplish organizational objectives. In addition, Burns (1979) and Du, Swaen, and Sen (2013) distinguish between two types of leadership styles that supervisors may exhibit: transformative and transactional styles, respectively. Burns (1979, p. 4) described the leadership styles as different from simple power holding and the opposite of physical power, respectively.

The leadership styles identified in this study are the ones that are currently being applied in companies. Furthermore, contemporary leadership theories have classified leadership behaviours into two main styles: transactional leadership and transformational leadership (Bass & Avolio 1993; Linjuan & Stacks 2013). The study of Premier Specialist Hospital found that it has reached the maturity stage in business growth since its opening in 1986. The business has passed through commendable steady growth, although it is very well known in its region for its unique trademark, Islamic Compliance Hospital.

Despite the awareness of the current pressures faced by the highly competitive industry, the hospital does not seem to emerge according to the requirement to innovate and to place itself in the competitive arena. Growth has been stagnant for many years and has recently been on a decreasing financial and business revenue trend. The outcomes of the above results shed some light on the leadership challenges Premier Specialist Hospital is facing. The findings indicated that the management currently practices a lot of laissez-faire leadership.

A leader's ability to see how their leadership abilities affect their followers is critical since it impacts whether they support their leadership style (Sethuraman & Suresh 2014). Employees who do not have support from their leaders may be prone to discontent, which can affect those who do not have support from their leaders and may be prone to discontent. This can affect their performance, as was the case with Premier Specialist Hospital. According to Khan, Asghar, and Zaheer (2014), leadership styles may impact employees' work satisfaction and performance levels, which are outcomes of good leadership. According to Welty Peachey, Burton, and Wells (2014), a leader's style may be linked with staff retention and performance in either a negative or positive way.

11.1. Summary:

The study delving into the influence of corporate governance practices on management and leadership styles has provided a profound understanding of the intricate dynamics shaping contemporary organizations. Through an exploration of various elements, including transparent decision-making, ethical conduct, diverse board compositions, and responsible shareholder engagement, the research elucidated how robust corporate governance practices profoundly impact management strategies and leadership styles.

Key findings revealed that organizations adhering to strong governance frameworks tend to foster adaptive management practices, enabling strategic resource allocation, effective risk management, and innovative approaches. Moreover, these practices also influenced leadership styles, encouraging transformational and participative leadership models. Transparency and ethical conduct emerged as catalysts, fostering trust among stakeholders and empowering leaders to inspire their teams, drive change, and nurture a positive organizational culture.

11.2. Conclusion:

In conclusion, this study underscores the pivotal role of corporate governance practices in shaping the very essence of organizational management and leadership. By emphasizing transparency, accountability, and ethical behavior, businesses can cultivate an environment conducive to strategic decision-making, employee empowerment, and sustainable growth. Leadership, when aligned with these governance principles, becomes a transformative force, driving not only organizational success but also societal impact.

As we navigate the complex landscapes of modern business, it is evident that a harmonious interplay between corporate governance, management strategies, and leadership styles is indispensable. Organizations that prioritize ethical governance, innovative management, and inspiring leadership are not only better positioned for financial success but also for creating a positive legacy, influencing industries, and fostering a world where integrity and vision intersect for enduring prosperity.

11.3. Recommendations

In the realm of corporate governance, where the intricate interplay between governance practices, management strategies, and leadership styles shapes the future of organizations, robust recommendations serve as guiding beacons. As we navigate the complexities of contemporary business environments, it is imperative to not only understand the existing landscape but also chart a course toward improved practices and sustainable success.

The following recommendations emerge from a comprehensive exploration of the influence of corporate governance practices on management and leadership styles. Grounded in empirical insights and strategic foresight, these recommendations aim to illuminate the path forward for businesses, researchers, policymakers, and stakeholders alike.

By embracing these recommendations, organizations can foster an environment of transparency, innovation, ethical leadership, and long-term viability, ensuring they remain agile and responsive in an ever-changing global marketplace. Let us delve into these recommendations, each one crafted to inspire positive change and elevate the standards of corporate governance, management, and leadership in the modern era, these include:

11.3.1. Comprehensive Case Studies: Conduct in-depth case studies across diverse industries and regions to understand how corporate governance practices influence management strategies and leadership styles in various contexts. Comparative analysis can yield valuable insights into the nuanced differences and commonalities across different sectors.

11.3.2. Longitudinal Studies: Undertake longitudinal studies to observe the evolution of corporate governance structures and their impact over time. Long-term analysis can reveal patterns, trends, and the effectiveness of governance practices in shaping sustained management and leadership excellence.

11.3.3. Interdisciplinary Research: Encourage interdisciplinary research collaborations between experts in corporate governance, management, psychology, and sociology. A multidisciplinary approach can provide a holistic understanding of how governance practices influence human behavior, decision-making, and organizational culture.

11.3.4. Stakeholder Surveys and Feedback: Engage with stakeholders, including shareholders, employees, customers, and regulators, through surveys and interviews. Their perspectives can offer real-time feedback on the perceived impact of governance practices on management effectiveness and leadership behavior.

11.3.5. **Focus on Emerging Markets:** Place emphasis on studying corporate governance practices in emerging markets where regulatory frameworks are evolving. Analyzing how businesses adapt to changing governance norms can provide valuable lessons for both practitioners and policymakers.

11.3.6. **Ethical Leadership Studies:** Conduct focused studies on ethical leadership within the context of robust governance. Investigate how ethical leaders emerge within well-governed organizations, examining their impact on corporate culture, employee morale, and overall organizational success.

11.3.7. **Best Practice Identification:** Identify and document best practices in corporate governance, management, and leadership from successful organizations. These case studies can serve as benchmarks for other businesses, providing tangible examples of how effective governance positively influences management strategies and leadership styles.

11.3.8. **Educational Initiatives:** Develop educational programs and workshops for corporate executives, board members, and aspiring leaders to enhance their understanding of the symbiotic relationship between governance, management, and leadership. Knowledge dissemination can lead to the adoption of progressive practices in real-world scenarios.

11.3.9. **Policy Recommendations:** Collaborate with policymakers and regulatory bodies to propose evidence-based policy recommendations aimed at enhancing corporate governance standards. Proactive policies can create an environment conducive to the integration of effective governance practices into organizational frameworks.

11.3.10. **Continuous Research And Adaptation:** Corporate Governance Practices, Management strategies, and leadership styles continue to evolve. Encourage ongoing research to stay abreast of emerging trends, technologies, and societal shifts, ensuring that examination methodologies remain relevant and reflective of the contemporary business landscape.

Reference

Admati, A. (2017). A Skeptical View of Financialized Corporate Governance. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 31(3), 131-150.

ii. Aguilera, R., & Judge, W. (2018). Corporate Governance Deviance. Academy of Management Review. Doi:http://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2014.0394

iii. Aguilera, R., Filatotchev, I., Gospel, H., & Jackson, G. (2008). An Organizational Approach to Comparative Corporate

Governance: Costs, Contingencies, and Complementarities. Organization Science, 19(3), 475-492.

iv. Aluwihare-Samaranayake, D. (2012). Ethics in Qualitative Research: A View of the Participants' and Researchers' World from a Critical Standpoint. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 11(2), 64-81.

v. Alvesson, M., & Spicer, A. (2016). The stupidity paradox - The power and pitfalls of functional stupidity at work.

vi. Aras, G., & Crowther, D. (2008). Governance and sustainability. Management Decision, 43(6), 433-448.

vii. Boxer, R., Berry, A., & Perren, L. (2012). Differing perceptions of non-executive directors' roles in UK smes: Governance conundrum or cultural anomaly? Accounting Forum, 36(1), 38-50.

viii. Brower, H., Schoorman, F., & Tan, H. (2000). A model of relational leadership. The Leadership Quarterly, 227-250.

ix. Brown, M., Treviño, L., & Harrison, D. (2005). Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 97(2), .117-134.

x. Bryman, A., & Bell, E. (2003). Business research methods (1st ed.). Hampshire: Oxford University Press.

xi. Burke, W., & Litwin, G. (1992). A Causal Model of Organizational Performance and Change. Journal of Management, 18(3), 523-545.

xii. Caldwell, C., Dixon, R., Floyd, L., Chaudoin, J., Post, J., & Cheokas, G. (2011). Transformative Leadership: Achieving

Unparalleled Excellence. Journal of Business Ethics, 109(2), 175-187.

xiii. Carmichael, T., & Cunningham, N. (2017). Theoretical Data Collection and Data Analysis with Gerunds in a Constructivist Grounded Theory Study. The Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods, 15(2), 59-73.

xiv. COHEN, J., KRISHNAMOORTHY, G., & WRIGHT, A. (2002). Corporate Governance and the Audit Process. Contemporary Accounting Research, 19(4), 573-594.

xv. Crainer, S., & Dearlove, D. (2017). Dear CEO (50 Personal Letters from the World's Leading Business Thinkers. Great Britain: Bloomsbury Publishing Plc.

xvi. Daily, C., mcdougall, P., Covin, J., & Dalton, D. (2002). Governance and Strategic Leadership in Entrepreneurial

Firms. Journal of Management, 28(3), 387-412. xvii. Davis, G. (2005). New Directions in Corporate Governance. Annual Review of Sociology, 31(1), 143-162.

xviii. Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals With Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business. Journal of Business Ethics, 231-234.

xix. Erdogan, B., Liden, R., & Kraimer, M. (2006). Justice and Leader-Member Exchange: The Moderating Role of Organizational Culture. Academy of Management Journal, 49(2), 395-406.

xx. Falk, C., & Blaylock, B. (2012). The H Factor: A Behavioral Explanation of Leadership Failures in the 2007-2009 Financial System Meltdown. Journal of Leadership, Accountability and Ethics, 9(2), 68-82.

xxi. Finch, V. (2007). Board Performance and Cadbury on Corporate Governance. London: SAGE.

xxii. Friedman, H., & Gerstein, M. (2017). Leading with Compassion: The Key To Changing The Organizational Culture And Achieving Success. Psychosociological Issues in Human Resource Management, 5(1), 160.

xxiii. Greenbaum, R., Mawritz, M., & Piccolo, R. (2012). When Leaders Fail to “Walk the Talk. Journal of Management, 41(3), 929-956.

xxiv. Hambrick, D., Werder, A., & Zajac, E. (2008). New Directions in Corporate Governance Research. Organization Science, 19(3), 381-385.

xxv. Han, Y., Sears, G., & Zhang, H. (2018). Revisiting the “give and take” in LMX: Exploring equity sensitivity as a moderator of the influence of LMX on affiliative and change-oriented OCB. Personnel Review, 47(2), 555-571. Doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-05-2017-0152

xxvi. Harris, T., Li, N., & Kirkman, B. (2014). Leader–member exchange (LMX) in context: How LMX differentiation and LMX relational separation attenuate LMX's influence on OCB and turnover intention. The Leadership Quarterly, 25(2), 314-328.

xxvii. Hayes, J. (2018). The theory and practice of change management. London: Palgrave.

xxviii. Henderson, D., Liden, R., Glibkowski, B., & Chaudhry, A. (2009). LMX differentiation: A multilevel review and examination of its antecedents and outcomes. The Leadership Quarterly, 20(4), 517-534. Doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2009.04.003.

xxix. Hsu, H., & Wu, C. (2014). Board composition, grey directors and corporate failure in the UK. The British Accounting Review, 46(3), 215-227.

xxx. Hubbard, G. (2006). Measuring organizational performance: beyond the triple bottom line. Business Strategy and the Environment, 18(3), 79-184.

xxxi. Kang, D. &. (2007). Leader- member exchange (LMX) theory of leadership and HRD. Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 28(6), 531-551.

xxxii. Khoo, H., & Burch, G. (2008). The 'dark side' of leadership personality and transformational leadership: An exploratory study. Personality and Individual Differences, 44(1), 86-97.

xxxiii. Kumar, R. (2014). Research methodology (4th ed.). Los Angeles, Calif: Sage Publ.

xxxiv. Larcker, D., & Richardson, S. (2007). Corporate Governance, Accounting Outcomes, and Organizational Performance. The Accounting Review, 82(4), 964-1004. Retrieved May 20, 2019, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/30243484

xxxv. Le Blanc, P., & González-Romá, V. (2012). A team-level investigation of the relationship between Leader–Member Exchange (LMX) differentiation, and commitment and performance. The Leadership Quarterly, 23(3), .534-544.

xxxvi. Leblanc, R. (2005). Assessing Board Leadership. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 13(5), 654-666.

xxxvii. Lee, K., Scandura, T., & Sharif, M. (2014). Cultures have consequences: A configural approach to leadership across two cultures. The Leadership Quarterly, 25(4), 692-710.

xxxviii. Liu, H. (2010). When Leaders Fail: A Typology of Failures and Framing Strategies. Management Communication Quarterly, 24(2), 232-259.

xxxix. Maltz, A., Shenhar, A., & Reilly, R. (2003). Beyond the Balanced Scorecard. Long Range Planning, 36(2), 187-204. Markham, S. (2012). The evolution of organizations and leadership from the ancient world to modernity: A

multilevel approach to organizational science and leadership (OSL). The Leadership Quarterly, 23(6), 1134-1151.

xli. Maxwell, J. (2005). Qualitative Research Design: An Interactive Approach. London: Sage.

xlii. Moon, H., & Choi, B. (2014). How an organization's ethical climate contributes to customer satisfaction and

financial performance. European Journal of Innovation Management, 17(1), 85-106.

xliii. Mugarura, N. (2016). The juxtaposition of success and failure of corporate governance procedures. Journal of Financial Crime, 23(2), 379-413.

xliv. Myers, M., & Venable, J. (2014). A set of ethical principles for design science research in information systems. Information & Management, 51(6), 801-809.

xlv. Osman, M., & Nahar, H. (2015). Understanding and Assessing Governance Agents ‘Relationships: The Contribution of Leader-Member Exchange Theory. Procedia Economics and Finance, 31, 746-758.

xlvi. Piccolo, R., Bardes, M., Mayer, D., & Judge, T. (2008). Does high quality leader–member exchange accentuate the effects of organizational justice? European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 17(2), 273-298.

xlvii. Rawlins, B. (2008). Give the Emperor a Mirror: Toward Developing a Stakeholder Measurement of Organizational Transparency. Journal of Public Relations Research, 21(1), 71-99.

xlviii. Rosen, C., Harris, K., & Kacmar, K. (2011). LMX, Context Perceptions, and Performance: An Uncertainty Management Perspective. Journal of Management, 37(3), 819-838.

xlix. Scandura, T., & Pellegrini, E. (. (2008). Trust and Leader—Member Exchange. Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies, 15(2), 101-110.

l. Sin, H., Nahrgang, J., & Morgeson, F. (2009). Understanding why they don’t see eye to eye: An examination of leader–member exchange (LMX) agreement. Journal of Applied Psychology, 94(4), 1048-1057.

li. Spiers, L. (2017). Corporate Governance, Risk and Crises in Small Companies: Shedding Light from inside the Boardroom Black Box. Economics and Business Review, 3((17)(1), 112-126.

lii. Stray, V., Sjøberg, D., & Dybå, T. (2016). The daily stand-up meeting: A grounded theory study. Journal of Systems and Software, 114, 101-124.

liii. Strukan, E., & Nikolić, M. (2017). Research on the impact of LMX leadership theory on mutual trust and organisational commitment of employees in Bosnia and Herzegovina. IOP Conference Series 200 (p. 012004). Materials Science and Engineering.

liv. Taştan, S., & Davoudi, S. (2015). An Examination of the Relationship between Leader member Exchange and Innovative Work Behavior with the Moderating Role of Trust in Leader: A Study in the Turkish Context. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 181, 23-32.

lv. Tse, H., Troth, A., Ashkanasy, N., & Collins, A. (2018). Affect and leader-member exchange in the new millennium: A state-of-art review and guiding framework. The Leadership Quarterly, 29(1), 135-149.