

GSJ: Volume 12, Issue 10, October 2024, Online: ISSN 2320-9186 www.globalscientificjournal.com

Groundwater Explanation at Nembe Creeks 2, Bayelsa State, Nigeria.

Dickson Odingowei Womoemi1* , Pabara-Ebiowei Dickson2* ,Billy Prince³ , Ekon-emieyefa Kenneth Nisiama⁴,Lydia Sinikiem Ezekiel⁵

¹Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Nigeria.

²Department of Pharmaceuticals and Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Niger Delta University Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State.Nigeria

³Department of Biological Sciences, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Nigeria.

⁴Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Niger Delta University Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State.Nigeria

⁵Department of Petroleum, Faculty of Engineering, Niger Delta University Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State, Nigeria.

Corresponding Author's Email: dicksonzamani9@gmail.com

 pabara15@gmai.com

Keywords: Groundwater Resistivity, Electrodes, Subsurface, Geophysical, Aquifer, Vertical Electrical Sounding.

Abstract

This study investigates groundwater potential in the Nembe Creek 2 area, Bayelsa State, using vertical electrical sounding (VES) to delineate suitable aquifers for sustainable water supply. Employing the Schlumberger array with a maximum electrode spread of 280 meters, the resistivity survey provides insights into subsurface lithological variations. Four distinct geoelectric layers were identified: an organic/peaty clay top layer, a fine- to medium-grained sand aquifer-prone layer, a non-aquiferous organic clay layer, and a saturated, medium-grained sand layer deemed prolific and suitable for aquifer development. The findings indicate that groundwater potential in the Nembe Creek area is concentrated within the deeper saturated sand layer. Based on these results,

we recommend drilling to a depth of 270 feet to optimize water extraction. This study contributes to a better understanding of the hydrogeophysical characteristics of the region and provides practical guidance for groundwater resource development.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the shallow subsurface, the presence of water controls much of the conductivity variations. Measurement of resistivity (inverse of conductivity) is in general, a measure of water saturation, porosity and permeability. This is because electrical conductivity of the subsurface is primarily by the means of dissolved ions in solution (electrolysis). Therefore, an increase in ions concentration (salinity), porosity and permeability tend to decrease measured resistivity. Conversely, increase in compaction of soil (will expel water) and the presence of air in the void spaces (as in the vadose zone) results in an increase in measured resistivity. So in view of the foregoing, hydro-geophysical survey has been widely applied in interpreting the lithologic and geohydrologic models of the subsurface.

The electrical resistivity survey was carried out in order to identify suitable aquifers that can be exploited for groundwater supply at the investigated location. A team of hydro-geophysicists and engineering geologist from the IX Sngergy ltd, Rivers state, carried out the field investigation on the 19th of May, 2024 at the aforementioned location. One vertical electrical sounding was carried out using the half Schlumberger field configurations, with a maximum electrode spread of 280m. The sets of data obtained were analyzed in accordance with best international practices.

Fig 1: Geological Map of the surveyed area.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Herojat Rhomega resistivity meter with internal power source

Non polarizing electrodes (four pcs)

Hammer

Measuring tape

Cable reels

Communication gadgets

Field notebook

Camera

2.1 ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY SURVEY METHODOLOGY

Geophysical resistivity techniques are based on the response of the earth to the flow of electrical current. In these methods, an electric current is passed into the ground through two current electrodes and two potential electrodes placed in-between allow us to record the resultant potential difference between them, giving us the way to measure the electrical impedance of the volume of subsurface material being investigated. The apparent resistivity is then a function of the measured impedance (ratio of potential to current) and the geometry of the electrode array. See equation 1. The measured resistivity is called apparent because the earth is anisotropic in nature. A generalized field configuration for resistivity survey is given in Figure 2.

 Figure 2: Generalized field configuration

The nature of the spatial arrangement of electrodes gives rise to the different field configurations in resistivity surveys. The suitability of anyone preferred, depends on the equipment being used, the geology of the study area, the purpose of the survey and logistics of the field work. Some of the popular configurations include; Schlumberger, Wenner, Dipole-Dipole, Pole-Dipole, Lee – Partitioning array etc. However in this project, Schlumberger array was used, because it has comparative advantage over the rest, in terms of its higher sensitivity to changes in resistivity with depth and logistics ease. In Schlumberger array, four electrodes are positioned symmetrically in a straight line as shown in Figure 3. Current is sent into the ground via the current electrodes **AB**, and the potential difference between the potential electrodes **MN** is recorded. After each measurement,

the current electrodes are moved outward, relative to the potential electrodes. In order to maintain a measurable potential at large distances of current electrodes, it is necessary to expand the potential electrodes after a series of measurements.

Figure 3: Schlumberger array schematic

The relationship between the current electrode spacing and potential electrode spacing is such that MN20%AB. Apparent resistivity is calculated from Schlumberger array as follows;

```
\rho_a=----------(1)
```
Where;

AB is the current electrode spacing MN is the potential electrode spacing is the potential difference I is the injected current

2.3. DATA COLLECTION AND INTERPRETATION

The field data was collected in the month of May 19th, 2024 at the aforementioned location, using the half Schlumberger field configuration. The vertical electrical sounding station was georeferenced using Polaris Mapping application on an Android device. One sounding station was acquired with a maximum electrode spread of 280m. The data obtained from the field was interpreted using Micro-Soft Excel and IPI2WIN computer inversion Software. The latter automatically calculates the resistivity versus thickness model from the measured apparent resistivity and fixed in the appropriate model.

The interpreted geo-electric layers are given in Table 1, while the apparent resistivity curves along with their modeled geo-electric parameters as well as the field datasheets are attached in the appendix of this report. The reference coordinates of the investigated site are contained in the attached field datasheet.

Table 1: interpreted geo-electric layers at the investigated location NEMBE 2 VES 1

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Resistivity of rocks gives an indication of the type of rock and its fluid content. Low resistivity readings in basement rocks environment, signify the presence of fractures, increased saturation, porosity and permeability, all of which are diagnostic properties of an aquifer. But in sedimentary rocks environments as the investigated site, low readings are indicative of shale and clay. In view of the foregoing, a concise interpretation of the processed geophysical data is given in Table 1.

Four lithological units were inferred in table 1 and they consist of an organic/peaty clay top layer, followed by a layer of fine to Medium grain-size layer. The subsequent layers in order of occurrence include third layer of organic clay which is non-aquiferous and fourth layer consist of **Saturated medium grain-size sand** constituted which is prolific and aquiferous.

From the forgoing results within the investigated site. We recommend that the borehole in Nembe creek 2 should be drilled to depth of 270 feet and a treatment should installed.

4. CONCLUSION

The hydro-geophysical survey was carried out on the $5th$ of June, 2021 at Nembe creek 2 site, at OML29. The survey was carried out to identify the most suitable depth to drill a water borehole in the area. A sounding was done with a maximum electrode spread of 280m, aquiferous intervals were successfully delineated.

REFERENCES

Arafaf, S. A. S., Sabet, H. S., & Abed, M. A. (2020). Application of magnetic and resistivity for groundwater investigations at North Al Ain Sokhona—Cairo Road, Al Ain Sokhna, Egypt. NRIAG Journal of Astronomy and Geophysics, 9, 280–288.

Bo-Luo, O. (2022). Application of hydrogeological survey combined with direct current prospecting in groundwater search in Southern Jiangxi Province. China Geology, 38, 240–249.

Berkowitz, B. (2002). Characterizing flow and transport in fractured geological media: A review. Advances in Water Resources, 25(8–12), 861–884.

Elbarbary, S., Abdel Zaher, M., El-Shahat, A., Al Deep, M., & Khedher, K. M. (2020). Hydrochemical and isotopic characteristics of thermal groundwater at Farafra Oasis, Western Desert, Egypt.

El-Sayed, H. M., Abdel Zaher, M., Soliman, S. A., & Mohamaden, M. I. I. (2020). Geophysical investigation for sustainable development at Alamein Area, Northwestern Coast, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research, In Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2020.07.002

Fu, Z., Ren, Z., Hua, X., Shi, Y., Chen, H., Chen, C., & Tang, J. (2020). Identification of underground water-bearing caves in noisy urban environments (Wuhan, China) using 3D electrical resistivity tomography techniques. Journal of Applied Geophysics, 174, 103966. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2020.103966

González, J. A. M., Comte, J. C., Legchenko, A., Ofterdinger, U., & Healy, D. (2021). Quantification of groundwater storage heterogeneity in weathered/fractured basement rock aquifers using electrical resistivity tomography: Sensitivity and uncertainty associated with petrophysical modelling. Journal of Hydrology, 593, 125637. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125637

Gouet, D. H., Kana, J. D., Guimbous, J. J. K., Ewembe, F. Y., Mbabi, A., & Ngos III, S. (2022). Remote sensing and DC electrical investigations in the Figuil area (North-Cameroon): Structural and geological implications. NRIAG Journal of Astronomy and Geophysics, 11, 147–165.

Hasan, M., Shang, Y., Jin, W., & Akhter, G. (2021). Estimation of hydraulic parameters in a hard rock aquifer using integrated surface geoelectrical method and pumping test data in southeast Guangdong, China. Geosciences Journal, 25, 223–242.

Hasan, M., Shang, Y., Jin, W. J., & Akhter, G. (2020). An engineering site investigation using noninvasive geophysical approach. Environmental Earth Sciences, 79, 265.

Hasan, M., Shang, Y., Jin, W., & Akhter, G. (2021). Joint geophysical prospecting for groundwater exploration in weathered terrains of South Guangdong, China. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 193, 734.

Hervé, G. D., Darolle, F. K. A., Fidèle, K., & David, Y. (2021). Groundwater prospecting using remote sensing and geoelectrical methods in the North Cameroon (Central Africa) metamorphic formations. Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science, 24, 933–943.

Huang, C., Zhou, Y., Zhang, S., Wang, J., Liu, F., Gong, C., Yi, C., Li, L., Zhou, H., & Wei, L. (2021). Groundwater resources in the Yangtze River Basin and its current development and utilization. Geological China, 48, 979–1000.

Khorchani, H., & Kamel, S. (2020). Contribution of the resistivity method to the determination of the fault zone and the hydro-geophysical characteristics of the aquifer system in Tataouine (Southern Tunisia). Journal of African Earth Sciences, 172, 103942. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2020.103942

Kouadio, K. L., Xu, Y., Liu, C.-M., & Boukhalfa, Z. (2020). Two-dimensional inversion of CSAMT data and three-dimensional geological mapping for groundwater exploration in Tongkeng Area, Hunan Province, China. Journal of Applied Geophysics, 183, 104204.

Lee, S. C. H., Noh, K. A. M., & Zakariah, M. N. A. (2021). High-resolution electrical resistivity tomography and seismic refraction for groundwater exploration in fracture hard rocks: A case study in Kanthan, Perak, Malaysia. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 218.

Liu, Q., Huang, X., & He, W. (2022). Characteristics and exploitation divisions of karst water in Qingtang Basin, Southern Jiangxi. East China Geology, 43, 364–373.

Liu, Z.-Y., Tan, D., Chen, Z.-B., Wei, Y.-F., Chai, Q., & Chen, X.-H. (2020). Study on multiple induced polarization parameters in groundwater exploration in Bashang poverty alleviation area of Heibei Province, China. Journal of Groundwater Science and Engineering, 8, 274–280.

Lu, D., Huang, D., & Xu, C. (2021). Estimation of hydraulic conductivity by using pumping test data and electrical resistivity data in faults zone. Ecological Indicators, 129, 107861.

2053

Sonkamble, S., Chandra, S., & Pujari, P. R. (2022). Application of airborne and ground geophysics to unravel the hydrogeological complexity of the Deccan basalts in central India. Hydrogeology Journal, 30, 2097–2116.

Wang, X., Wang, X., Wang, K., Luo, W., Xiao, J., Hu, J., & Hu, D. (2022). Research on internal structure and mechanism of landslide based on hydrogeophysical investigation (Quan'an Landslide, Southwest China). Geofluids, 2022, 7843011.

Zhang, M., Yang, W., Yang, M., & Yan, J. (2022). Guizhou Karst Carbon Sink and Sustainability— An Overview. Sustainability, 14, 11518.

Zhang, S., Jiang, P., Lu, L., Wang, S., & Wang, H. (2022). Evaluation of compressive geophysical prospecting method for the identification of the abandoned goaf at the Tengzhou section of China's Mu Shi Expressway. Sustainability, 14, 13785.

Zhou, Y., Jianwei, P., Hao, L., Zhongmei, W., Jiang, Z., Haixin, L., & Jiaxu, L. (2022). Multi-turn small-loop transient electromagnetic data processing using constraints from borehole and electrical resistivity tomography data. Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 15, 1675.

