



Integration of Law and Business in Light of Legal Institutions' Economic Impact

Mowrin Rahman¹
Lecturer, Faculty of Law
Times University Bangladesh

Md. Mokshud Ali²
Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration
Times University Bangladesh

Abstract

Purpose – To explore the law as its economic effects in the long run.

Design/methodology/approach – This paper includes the integration of law and business in light of legal institutions' economic impact using various scholarly documents following a desk based research method with the analysis of secondary data.

Findings – It presents that the relevant legal institutional changes did not occur at a specific point in time. The introduction of specific legal institutions and their economic effects have been particularly challenging for the legal origins-narrative. To what extent law can be made responsible for the economic success of a company and its capacity to act.

Research implications/limitations – The main limitation is that there may have the chance that some important research might have remained untraced even after the rigorous search of the literature. Besides, some time constraints are also included.

Practical implications – The findings contribute to the knowledge of law and business both for the academicians and practitioners.

Originality/value – This paper is very unique among the existing handful papers on this field that represents the cross-disciplinary approach.

Keywords – Law and Business, Economic Effects, Legal System, Interdisciplinary Research, Cross-disciplinary Approach,

Paper type – Analytical paper

Contact author and particulars

Mowrin Rahman
Lecturer, Faculty of Law

Times University Bangladesh
Email:mowrinrahman1991@gmail.com

Introduction

There is an interface between law and commerce. Commerce law is included in protecting freedoms and rights, keeping up orders, settling debate, and characterizing guidelines for the trade concerns and their dealings with other substances. Each state sets up its claim set of rules and directions for businesses. Similarly, it is additionally the duty of the commerce concerns to know the existing rules and directions appropriate to them. Corporate law is basic in guaranteeing that a country's trade operations are directed. Ensure shareholder rights and remuneration issues, for case, when shaping a firm. The part of law for depicting auxiliary alter had too been addressed by Chandlerian trade history specialists (Chandler and Daems 1979; Hannah 1979). Antitrust history specialists (Lamoreaux 1985; Dobbin and Dowd 2000) and consolidation law students of history (Guinnane et al. 2007) countered them by clarifying firm choices in light of the administrative setting. A later wave in trade history has highlighted the different measurements at the crossing point of law and financial matters (Dahlén and Larsson 2014; Pahlow 2014), commerce wrongdoing and embarrassments (Empty 2014; Berghoff, Rauh, and Welskopp 2016; Berghoff and Spiekermann 2018; Taylor 2018; van Driel 2019), or organizational elements (Fleming 2016; Wadhvani 2018). They have helped within the advancement of the multidisciplinary ponder field in which this Extraordinary Issue is set.

Perspective of Law and its Economic Effects in the long run

The meaning of law and its financial results cannot be completely comprehended by a reductionist approach that sees law exclusively as enactment or locale. It too advocates for a move absent from lawful doctrines' authentic repercussions, which have regularly darkened the vision of lawful reality (Edelman and Suchman 1997) or maybe, the center is on an developmental conception of law, which looks at the directing capacity of lawful administrations within the confront of financial, financial, and political issues. The esteem of legitimate laws was habitually judged by authentic players in a more separated way: Geiler (1927) pointed out that company law is being a liquid, non-static administration, of whom the one who gets it essentially the composed law would have no idea.

Cross-disciplinary Approaches of Law and Business

Cross-disciplinary approaches including financial specialists, trade students of history, and lawful students of history can be a potential way to urge new bits of knowledge and distant better information of law within the setting of commerce. Law is not inactive; it can be adaptable, and indeed when seen as a control instrument, it is still dependent on a huge number of people with different interface. Trade history specialists have examined organizations and their commerce methodologies in particular lawful settings, such as in Germany (Reckendrees 2000; Roelevink 2015), but they have seldom centered efficiently on the specificities of lawful measurements, such as strife direction or compliance with legitimate things exterior of these endeavors. This will be seen in commerce historians' exhaustive portrayals, where law isn't included at all, or as it were within the setting of legislative issues (Berghoff 2016; Plumpe 2018). Seeing the lawful framework as a unmistakable, but energetic, component settled in in changing financial circumstances and not separated from financial and political concerns brings a level of possibility that emphasizes the esteem of authentic real inquire about.

Aim of the Study

One important subject that has perplexed experts for years can now be framed in a fresh light: the question of law's long-term economic effects.

Methodology

The researchers looked at a variety of scientific research articles published in foreign journals. This article is based on secondary data and was written using a desk research method. Although no fixed schedule was followed, the researchers thoroughly reviewed the literature accessible on this topic.

Legal Institutions' Economic Impact

Few individuals would deny that businesses work in profoundly controlled legitimate systems. Whereas a few scholastics have given a deterministic quality to the enactment (Pistor 2019; Hodgson 2015; La Porta et al. 1997, 1998, 2008), others have addressed its significance, at slightest in terms of corporate administration (Cheffins 2001). A division still exists at the level of financial approach and the lawful system that underpins it. Britain, the so-called 'domestic nation' of the Mechanical Insurgency, was stuck in a change deadlock over the legitimate premise and endorsement of recently joined stock organizations. Few would contend that enterprises work in exceedingly controlled legitimate situations. Whereas a few scholastics have lauded the legislation's deterministic nature (Pistor 2019; Hodgson 2015; La Porta et al. 1997, 1998, 2008), others have addressed its noteworthiness, at slightest in terms of corporate administration (Cheffins 2001).

At the level of financial approach and the legitimate system that supports it, there's still a partition. The lawful premise and authorisation of recently made stock firms were stuck in a change deadlock in Britain, the so-called 'domestic nation' of the Mechanical Insurgency. Industrialization in France would take after the codification of respectful and commercial law, while the English Common Law Framework had not blocked the Mechanical Insurgency and legitimate changes had been received after the 'take-off.' Be that as it may, it slacked behind Britain and, afterward, Germany in terms of financial execution. The think about of authoritative history alone is deficiently to completely clarify this apparent inconsistency. The financial victory of the firms in Britain was inferable to their constitutions, which were based on statutes, contracts, or casual controls and their capacity to reply to changing advertise conditions. The part of legitimate standards for this handle may be a challenged theme in both financial hypothesis and financial history investigate (Hovenkamp 1991). Concurring to the Legitimate Root Demonstrate, common law frameworks advance market-based development whereas mainland lawful frameworks tend to ruin it (La Porta, Florencio, and Shleifer 2008). In spite of this, a few history specialists have given conflicting prove (Musacchio and Turner 2013).

Scottish organization banks had more organizational adaptability beneath a civil-law administration than would be anticipated by legal-origin writing (Acheson, Hickson, and Turner 2011), and the same is genuine in numerous other civil-law nations, where the Restricted Obligation Company, for case, delivered more organizational choices instead of less (Acheson, Hickson, and Turner 2011). Financial development, commercial advancement, and victory were not subordinate on common law administrations, concurring to Hubert Kiesewetter's consider of industrialisation in Saxony (which had no respectful code until 1865). (Kiesewetter 2007). Baden embraced the French Gracious Code as 'Badisches Landrecht' in 1809, but it did not commence

industrialization until 1840, when it joined the 'Zollverein' (Selgert 2013). Partition of possession and control shows up to have happened within the Joined together States (Handle 2008) and the Joined together Kingdom, at slightest in portion, without the utilize of administrative codification of speculator assurance rights, depending instep on 'alternative organization frameworks' (Cheffins 2001, 460). Typically moreover apparent within the recently recognized 'varieties of capitalism' (Lobby and Soskice 2001), which have lawful underpinnings that ought to not be dismissed (Deakin et al. 2017).

Criticism

As feedback of a few regulation financial suspicions has appeared, the financial impacts of organization changes cannot be assessed and doled out as clearly as the pertinent writing claims (Kopsidis, Bromley 2016). Or maybe, the rise of financial issues has regularly coincided with the advancement of lawful guidelines and implies of execution. In this circumstance, legitimate shapes for undertakings may be said to have withdrawn from their starting points and to have existed and created totally different trade settings where they were through change forms.

Research Implications/Limitations

This considers on law and trade may include to the existing body of information within the field. The discoveries have consequences for scholastics as well as policymakers. Within the setting of worldwide law and trade important substances, a few critical endeavors to extend the quality of hones may be taken. The greatest downside is that indeed after a exhaustive look of the writing, it is conceivable that a few fundamental investigate would elude unnoticed. Aside from that, there are certain time limits.

Findings

This review paper has exposed some noteworthy findings as follows:

- The basic lawful regulation changes did not happen at a particular point in time, but or maybe as a complicated, different, and habitually ensnared handle with a assortment of comes about depending on the bigger financial context.
- The legitimate roots story has found it especially troublesome to look at the timing of the foundation of particular legitimate educate and their financial impacts.
- This raises the common address of whether and to what degree law can be held responsible for a company's financial victory and capacity to act.

Conclusion

Business and economical chronicled viewpoints are vital to investigate more profound into the subject. In expansion to a exhaustive assessment of the legitimate premise, substantial attestations around the relationship between law and trade can as it were be made in case the actors' impacts on law are moreover assessed. Able to free our point as a subject of verifiable or legal-historical inquire about from past depictions and hypothetical over-burdens that have repressed observational investigation and, as a result, historicization, on this foundation. As it were through this reconceptualization are on-screen characters able to induce a smaller scale chronicled point of view and comprehend and survey the impact of particular, interconnected social exercises (Welskopp 2017), which is continually developed in legal-historical detail. This on-screen character level has the potential to impact corporate and political performing artists. It moreover all.

References

- Acemoglu, D., D. Cantoni, S. Johnson, J. A. Robinson. 2011. "The Consequences of Radical Reform. The French Revolution." *American Economic Review* 101: 3286–3307. doi:10.1257/aer.101.7.3286.
- Acheson, G. G., C. R. Hickson, and J. D. Turner. 2011. "Organisational Flexibility and Governance in a Civil-Law Regime. Scottish Partnership Banks during the Industrial Revolution." *Business History* 53(4): 505–529. doi:10.1080/00076791.2011.574690.
- Balleisen, E. J. 2017. *Fraud. An American History from Barnum to Madoff*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Berghoff, H. 2016. *Moderne Unternehmensgeschichte. Eine themen- und theorieorientierte Einführung*. 2nd ed. 192–252. Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter
- Berghoff, H., C. Rauh, and T. Welskopp, eds. 2016. *Tatort Unternehmen. Zur Geschichte der Wirtschaftskriminalität im 20. und 21. Jahrhundert*. Berlin: De Gruyter Oldenbourg.
- Berghoff, H., and U. Spiekermann. 2018. "Shady Business: On the History of White-collar Crime." *Business History* 60 (3): 289–304. doi:10.1080/00076791.2018.1414735.
- Chandler, A. D., and H. Daems. 1979. "Administrative Coordination, Allocation and Monitoring. Concepts and Comparisons." In *Law and the Formation of the Big Enterprises in the 19th and Early 20th Centuries*, edited by N. Horn and J. Kocka, 28–54. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Cheffins, B. R. 2001. "Does Law Matter? The Separation of Ownership and Control in the United Kingdom." *The Journal of Legal Studies* 30: 459–484. doi:10.1086/322052.
- Dahlén, M., and M. Larsson. 2014. "Business History and Legal History." *Business History* 56 (1): 54–70. doi:10.1080/00076791.2013.818416.
- Deakin, S., D. Gindis, G. M. Hodgson, K. Huang, K. Pistor. 2017. "Legal Institutionalism: Capitalism and the Constitutive Role of Law." *Journal of Comparative Economics* 45 (1): 188–190. doi:10.1016/j.jce.2016.04.005.
- Dobbin, F., and T. J. Dowd. 2000. "The Market that Antitrust Built. Public Policy, Private Coercion, and Railroad Acquisitions, 1825 to 1922." *American Sociological Review* 65 (5): 631–657. doi:10.2307/2657540.
- Edelman, L. B., and M. C. Suchman. 1997. "The Legal Environments of Organizations." *Annual Review of Sociology* 23 (1): 479–515. doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.23.1.479.
- Fleming, A. 2016. "City of Debtors. Law, Loan Sharks, and the Shadow Economy of Urban Poverty, 1900–1970." *Enterprise & Society* 17 (4): 734–740. doi:10.1017/eso.2016.70.
- Geiler, K. 1927. "Die wirtschaftsrechtliche Methode im Gesellschaftsrecht." *Gruchots Beiträge* 68: 593–619.

- Guinnane, T., R. Harris, N. R. Lamoreaux, J.-L. Rosenthal. 2007. "Putting the Corporation in Its Place." *Enterprise and Society* 8: 687–729. doi:10.1017/S1467222700006224.
- Hall, P. A., and D. Soskice, eds.. 2001. *Varieties of Capitalism. The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hannah, L. 1979. "Mergers, Cartels, and Concentration. Legal Factors in the U.S. and European Experience." In *Law and the Formation of the Big Enterprises in the 19th and Early 20th Centuries*, edited by N. Horn and J. Kocka, 306–316.
- Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht. Harris, R. 2000. *Industrializing English Law. Entrepreneurship and Business Organization, 1720 – 1844*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hilt, E. 2008. "When Did Ownership Separate from Control? Corporate Governance in the Early Nineteenth Century." *The Journal of Economic History* 68: 645–685. doi:10.1017/S0022050708000600.
- Hodgson, G. M. 2015. *Conceptualizing Capitalism. Institutions, Evolution, Future*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Hollow, M. 2014. *Rogue Banking: A History of Financial Fraud in Interwar Britain*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hovenkamp, H. 1991. *Enterprise and American Law, 1836 – 1937*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Hurst, J. W. 1964. *Law and Economic Growth. The Legal History of the Lumber Industry in Wisconsin, 1836-1915*. Madison, WI: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- John, R. R., and K. Phillips-Fein, eds. 2017. *Capital Gains. Business and Politics in Twentieth-century America*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Kiesewetter, H. 2007. *Die Industrialisierung Sachsens*. Stuttgart: Franz Steiner.
- Kopsidis, M., and D. Bromley. 2016. "The French Revolution and German Industrialization: Dubious Models and Doubtful Causality." *Journal of Institutional Economics* 12 (1): 161-190. doi:10.1017/S1744137415000223.
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, R. Vishny. 1998. "Law and Finance." *Journal of Political Economy* 106: 1113–1155. doi:10.1086/250042.
-
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-silanes, A. Shleifer, R. W. Vishny. 1997. "Legal Determinants of External Finance." *The Journal of Finance* 52: 1131–1150. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6261.1997.tb02727.x.
- La Porta, R., L. Florencio, and A. Shleifer. 2008. "The Economic Consequences of Legal Origins." *Journal of Economic Literature* 46 (2): 285–332. doi:10.1257/jel.46.2.285.
- Lamoreaux, N. R. 1985. *The Great Merger Movement in American Business, 1895-1904*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Musacchio, A., and J. D. Turner. 2013. "Does the Law and Finance Hypothesis Pass the Test of History?" *Business History* 55 (4): 524–542. doi:10.1080/00076791.2012.741976.

Pahlow, L. 2014. "Unternehmensrechtsgeschichte. Methoden und Perspektiven." *Zeitschrift für Neuere Rechtsgeschichte* 36: 83–102.

Phillips Sawyer, L. 2018. *American Fair Trade: Proprietary Capitalism, Corporatism, and the "New Competition", 1890-1940*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Pistor, K. 2019. *The Code of Capital. How the Law Creates Wealth and Inequality*. Oxford and Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Plumpe, W. 2018. *Unternehmensgeschichte im 19. und 20. Jahrhundert*. Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter/Oldenbourg.

Reckendrees, A. 2000. *Das „Stahltrust-Projekt“, die Gründung der Vereinigte Stahlwerke AG und die Unternehmensentwicklung 1926-1933/34*. Munich: C. H. Beck.

Roelevink, E.-M. 2015. *Organisierte Intransparenz. Das Kohlensyndikat und der niederländische Markt, 1915-1932*. Munich: C. H. Beck.

Schumpeter, J. 2010. *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*. London/New York: Routledge.

Selgert, F. 2013. "Civil Servants". *Living Standards in the Grand Duchy of Baden, 1780-1913.* *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftsgeschichte*. 151-177. doi:10.1524/jbwg.2013.0009.

Taylor, J. 2018. "White-collar Crime and the Law in Nineteenth-century Britain." *Business History* 60 (3): 343–360. doi:10.1080/00076791.2017.1339691.

van Driel, H. 2019. "Financial Fraud, Scandals, and Regulation: A Conceptual Framework and Literature Review." *Business History* 61 (8): 1259–1299. doi:10.1080/00076791.2018.1519026.

Wadhvani, R. D. 2018. "Interfield Dynamics: Law and the Creation of New Organisational Fields in the Nineteenth-century United States." *Business History* 60 (5): 628–654. doi:10.1080/00076791.2017.1346610.

Welskopp, T. 2017. "Zukunft bewirtschaften. Überlegungen zu einer praxistheoretisch informierten Historisierung des Kapitalismus." *Mittelweg. Zeitschrift des Hamburger Instituts für Sozialforschung* 26 (1): 81–97
