Global Scientific JOURNALS

Optimizing Conflict Resolution: The Power of Interpersonal Communication Skills

Oktafiana Lestari

Oktafiana Lestari is currently pursuing masters degree program in business management in Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China. E-mail: oktafianal@gmail.com

KeyWords

Human interactions, Interpersonal communication skills, Quantitative study, Conflict resolution styles.

ABSTRACT

Conflicts are an inevitable part of human interactions and can significantly impact the quality of relationships and group harmony. This paper investigates the role of interpersonal communication skills in conflict resolution, focusing on speaking, body language, listening, and empathizing skills. Through a quantitative study involving 105 respondents, this research explores how these skills influence various conflict resolution styles, including competing, avoiding, collaborating, and accommodating. The results reveal significant positive correlations between speaking skills and collaborative conflict resolution, body language skills and collaborative resolution, listening skills and collaborative resolution, and empathizing skills and accommodating resolution. These findings align with existing literature, highlighting the importance of clear articulation, non-verbal communication, active listening, and empathy in fostering effective and harmonious conflict resolution. However, no significant correlations were found between body language skills and competing styles, or empathizing skills and avoiding styles, suggesting these skills are less relevant in adversarial or withdrawal-based approaches. The study underscores that conflict resolution is a multifaceted process where different styles may be employed depending on the context. Practical suggestions include developing comprehensive training programs focusing on these key communication skills to enhance conflict resolution effectiveness and organizational harmony.

The first page should be used only for Title/ Keyword/ Abstract section. The main paper will start from second page.

Main Paper starts here...

Introduction

Conflicts are an inevitable part of human interaction, occurring in various settings such as workplaces, families, and social groups. How these conflicts are managed greatly influences the quality of relationships and the overall harmony within a group or organization. Interpersonal communication skills—the abilities to effectively convey, receive, and understand messages—play a crucial role in the resolution of conflicts. These skills encompass a range of competencies, including active listening, empathy, clarity in expression, and the ability to provide constructive feedback.

The purpose of this paper is to explore the impact of interpersonal communication skills on conflict resolution. It aims to address key questions such as: How do effective communication skills facilitate the resolution of conflicts? What are the consequences of poor communication in conflict situations? Additionally, this paper seeks to highlight the importance of fostering strong communication

abilities to navigate and resolve disputes effectively.

The scope of this research includes examining various settings where conflicts arise and analyzing how communication skills are utilized to address and resolve these issues. The structure of the paper is organized into several key sections: a literature review that provides a foundation of existing research on the topic, a data and methodology section detailing the research design and data collection methods, a results and discussion section presenting the findings and their implications, and a conclusion and suggestion section that summarizes the key points and offers recommendations for future research and practical applications.

Understanding the impact of interpersonal communication skills on conflict resolution has significant practical implications. Improved communication can lead to enhanced workplace harmony, stronger personal relationships, and more effective teamwork. By contributing to the existing body of knowledge, this paper aims to provide valuable insights for both practitioners and scholars interested in the dynamics of conflict resolution.

In essence, this study argues that the development and application of strong interpersonal communication skills are vital for effective conflict resolution. As such, the paper presents a comprehensive examination of how these skills influence conflict outcomes, offering both theoretical and practical contributions to the field.

Literature Review

Interpersonal communication skills refer to the abilities required to effectively exchange information, feelings, and meanings through verbal and non-verbal messages. These skills are fundamental for successful interactions in personal and professional contexts. Key components of interpersonal communication skills include speaking skills, body language skills, listening skills, and empathizing skills. Speaking skills, a critical subset of interpersonal communication skills, play a pivotal role in the resolution of conflicts. Effective speaking involves several key components, including clarity, articulation, pacing, tone, pitch, and volume. These skills enable individuals to express their thoughts, ideas, and emotions comprehensively and understandably. Effective speaking skills facilitate the articulation of perspectives, promote constructive dialogue, de-escalate emotional tensions, and build rapport and trust, all of which are essential for resolving conflicts amicably.

Empirical studies underscore the significance of speaking skills in conflict resolution. Research by Behfar, Peterson, Mannix and Trochim [1] highlighted that speaking skills are indispensable for effective communication and conflict resolution in collaborative settings. They facilitate the clear articulation of ideas, promote constructive dialogue, and help in managing and resolving conflicts, thereby enhancing overall effectiveness. Kim, Appelbaum, Baker, Bajwa, Chu, Pal, Cochran and Bochatay [2] analyzed that speaking skills are indispensable for effective communication and conflict resolution in healthcare. They enable clear articulation of concerns and enhance collaborative problem-solving. Sudarmo [3] discovered the ability to articulate thoughts clearly and thoughtfully is essential for successful communication, especially in contexts where misunderstandings can lead to conflicts.

Body language skills, an essential component of interpersonal communication, significantly influence the resolution of conflicts. Non-verbal communication, which includes gestures, facial expressions, posture, and eye contact, often conveys more information than verbal communication. Effective use of body language can reinforce spoken words, express emotions, and provide cues to understand the other party's feelings and intentions.

Uzun [4] stated that non-verbal cues such as nodding, leaning forward, and maintaining appropriate eye contact can convey attentiveness and empathy, making the other party feel heard and valued. This emotional attunement is crucial for building trust and rapport, which are essential for resolving conflicts amicably. Adejimola [5] highlighted that body language, as a form of non-verbal communication, includes gestures, facial expressions, posture, and eye contact, all of which play crucial roles in conveying emotions and intentions without the use of words. Pérez-Yus, Ayllón-Negrillo, Delsignore, Magallón-Botaya, Aguilar-Latorre and Oliván Blázquez [6] stated effective negotiators are those who can accurately read and respond to these non-verbal cues, effective negotiators often exhibit integrating, dominating, and compromising styles and are skilled in reading and responding to body language and non-verbal cues.

Listening skills are a fundamental aspect of interpersonal communication and play a crucial role in conflict resolution. Effective listening involves not just hearing the words spoken but also understanding the underlying emotions and intentions. Active listening, which includes giving full attention to the speaker, providing feedback, and withholding judgment, is particularly important in conflict situations. Active listening helps in de-escalating conflicts by making the other party feel heard and understood, which fosters a collaborative environment for resolving disputes.

Kluger and Itzchakov [7] stated that listening plays a crucial role in conflict resolution by fostering a state of psychological safety, which is essential for open and honest communication. They emphasize that listening can de-escalate conflicts by making the speaker feel heard and understood, which reduces defensive behaviors and promotes a collaborative environment for resolving disputes. Costigan and Brink [8] studied that individuals who listen well tend to be better liked and more trusted, which puts them in an advantageous position to exert influence and resolve conflicts. Moreover, listening can enhance cognitive flexibility and reflective self-awareness, allowing individuals to consider multiple perspectives and find more adaptive solutions to conflicts.

Empathizing skills are a vital component of interpersonal communication and play a significant role in conflict resolution. Empathy involves the ability to understand and share the feelings of another person, which helps in building emotional connections and fostering

mutual respect. Empathy plays a pivotal role in conflict resolution by enabling individuals to offer high-quality apologies that address the victim's needs and promote reconciliation. Empathy helps build emotional connections, reduces defensiveness, and encourages a focus on the well-being of others, making it an indispensable skill for resolving interpersonal conflicts effectively.

Taylor, O'Driscoll, Dautel and McKeown [9] found that empathy can have long-term benefits for relations and peacebuilding. Empathy can help to dismantle traditional conflict dynamics and support the development of more peaceful and cooperative relations. Read [10] stated that by empathizing, individuals can uncover or create common ground, which is essential for resolving disagreements. Schumann and Dragotta [11] found that empathy promotes high-quality, comprehensive, and non-defensive apologies, which are essential for fostering forgiveness and achieving reconciliation.

Conflict resolution is a multifaceted process that involves various strategies and approaches to address and manage disputes effectively. Understanding the different types of conflict resolution is essential for developing effective interpersonal communication skills that can facilitate reconciliation and maintain healthy relationships.

The competing conflict resolution style, also known as the dominating style, is marked by a high level of assertiveness and a low level of cooperativeness. The competing style is often employed in situations where quick, decisive action is necessary, or when an individual perceives that their position is correct and must be upheld. This approach is highly assertive and uncooperative, emphasizing a win-lose orientation. Those who adopt a competing style prioritize their own objectives and are willing to engage in direct confrontation to achieve their desired outcomes. This can be effective in scenarios where a firm stance is required, such as in emergency situations or when defending one's rights against unjust treatment.

Aubert [12] studied that competing style is particularly relevant in conflicts of interest, where two parties desire the same scarce resource. In such scenarios, the competing style can lead to direct confrontation, as each party strives to achieve their own objectives without regard for the other's needs. Yang [13] studied the competing style can be contrasted with the cooperative conflict resolution style, which emphasizes mutual goals and collective benefits.

The avoiding conflict resolution style is characterized by low assertiveness and low cooperativeness. Individuals who adopt this style tend to sidestep or withdraw from conflicts rather than confront them directly. This approach is often used when the conflict is perceived as trivial, when there is no chance of winning, or when the potential damage of confrontation outweighs the benefits of resolution. Avoiding can also be a temporary strategy to allow emotions to cool down or to gather more information before addressing the issue.

Tehrani and Yamini [14] found that neuroticism and agreeableness are positively related to the avoiding style. Neuroticism, characterized by emotional instability and a tendency to experience negative emotions such as anxiety, anger, and sadness, predisposes individuals to avoid conflicts as a way to manage their emotional distress. Agreeable individuals, who are typically cooperative, compassionate, and eager to maintain harmony, may also prefer to avoid conflicts to prevent discord and maintain positive relationships.

Collaborating is also known as integrating conflict resolution. This method stands out from other styles, such as competing or avoiding, by seeking a win-win outcome where all parties feel heard and valued. Key elements of the collaborating approach include transparent and honest communication, which helps identify the root causes of the conflict, and active listening, which ensures that all parties feel respected and understood.

Jordan and Troth [15] stated collaborating is a conflict resolution style where both parties seek mutually advantageous gains. It involves open discussion and cooperation among team members to synthesize information and derive common solutions. Kay and Skarlicki [16] emphasized that collaborating is generally considered highly constructive because it tends to yield optimal outcomes and promote positive relations. Collaborating fosters prosocial attitudes and enabling cognitive reappraisal, which helps individuals manage conflicts constructively.

The accommodating conflict resolution style is one where one party concedes to the other's demands or preferences, characterized by high cooperativeness and low assertiveness. This approach is often employed to maintain harmony and preserve relationships, demonstrating a willingness to prioritize the other party's needs over one's own.

Jordan and Troth [15] stated accommodating characterized by allowing others to win in a conflict situation, which is determined by how cooperative (concerned for others) and assertive (concerned for self) an individual is in a conflict situation. Specifically, accommodating is low in concern for self and high in concern for others. Tehrani and Yamini [14] stated that accommodating also known as obliging. They also stated this style is characterized by a high concern for others and a low concern for self. It involves reducing one's own needs to meet the needs of others. It is a cooperative and unassertive approach where an individual may sacrifice their own interests to satisfy the other party's concerns.

H1: *People with high speaking skills tend to have collaborating conflict resolution type.*
H2: *People with high body language skills tend to have collaborating conflict resolution type.*
H3: *People with high body language skills tend to have competing conflict resolution type.*
H4: *People with high listening skills tend to have collaborating conflict resolution type.*
H5: *People with high empathizing skills tend to have accommodating conflict resolution type.*
H6: *People with high empathizing skills tend to have avoiding conflict resolution type.*

H7: *People with competing conflict resolution style have negative correlation with people with collaborating conflict resolution style.*
H8: *People with avoiding conflict resolution style have negative correlation with people with collaborating conflict resolution style.*
H9: *People with avoiding conflict resolution style have negative correlation with people with accommodating conflict resolution style.*

Data and Methodology

To investigate the impact of interpersonal communication skills on conflict resolution, this study employs a quantitative research design using a structured questionnaire. The questionnaire is designed to measure various dimensions of interpersonal communication skills and their effectiveness in resolving conflicts. The target population for this study includes individuals from diverse backgrounds, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon across different relational contexts.

The data collection process involves distributing the questionnaire to a sample of 105 respondents, which is stratified to include both international and Chinese nationals. This method ensures that different subgroups within the population are adequately represented. The questionnaire includes items that assess key interpersonal communication skills such as speaking skills, body language skills, listening skills, and empathizing skills. Additionally, it contains items that evaluate the respond-ent's experiences and outcomes in conflict resolution scenarios.

Table 1 Descriptiev analysis

Variable	(All)			Female			Male			Min	Max
	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.		
id	105	53	30.455	70	58.014	29.641	35	42.971	29.98	1	105
age	105	23.038	4.365	70	22.171	4.004	35	24.771	4.596	3	39
sex	105	0.333	0.474	70	0	0	35	1	0	0	1
country	105	0.533	0.501	70	0.629	0.487	35	0.343	0.482	0	1
speaking	105	3.552	1.028	70	3.414	0.955	35	3.829	1.124	1	5
bodylanguage	105	3.371	1.002	70	3.214	0.991	35	3.686	0.963	1	5
listening	105	3.552	1.028	70	3.614	1.011	35	3.429	1.065	1	5
emphatizing	105	3.876	0.978	70	3.971	0.868	35	3.686	1.157	1	5
interterpersonal idx	105	3.588	0.684	70	3.554	0.674	35	3.657	0.707	1.75	5
disagreement (competing)	105	3.171	0.882	70	3.086	0.717	35	3.343	1.136	1	5
hardfeelings (avoiding)	105	3.533	0.971	70	3.443	0.987	35	3.714	0.926	1	5
authority (competing)	105	2.905	1.131	70	2.843	1.016	35	3.029	1.339	1	5
common (collaborating)	105	3.952	0.813	70	3.971	0.798	35	3.914	0.853	2	5
information (collaborating)	105	4.086	0.761	70	4.129	0.7	35	4	0.874	2	5
respect (accommodating)	105	4.162	0.81	70	4.271	0.7	35	3.943	0.968	2	5

The dataset provided includes various variables measured across a sample of 105 individuals, with separate statistics for fe-males (70 observations) and males (35 observations). The variables include demographic information (id, age, sex, country) and several interpersonal and conflict resolution metrics.

Once the data is collected, it is processed and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). The primary statistical methods employed are Pearson correlation analysis and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). Pearson correlation is chosen to determine the strength and direction of the relationship between interpersonal communication skills and conflict resolution effectiveness. SEM is utilized to explore the complex interrelationships among the variables and to test the hypothesized model that explains how interpersonal communication skills influence conflict resolution outcomes. SEM is particularly suitable for this study as it allows for the examination of both direct and indirect effects, providing a comprehensive understanding of the underlying mechanisms.

Result and Discussion

Once the data is collected, it is processed and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). The primary statistical methods employed are Pearson correlation analysis and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). Pearson correlation is chosen to determine the strength and direction of the relationship between interpersonal communication skills and conflict resolution effectiveness. SEM is utilized to explore the complex interrelationships among the variables and to test the hypothesized model that explains how interpersonal communication skills influence conflict resolution outcomes. SEM is particularly suitable for this study as it allows for the examination of both direct and indirect effects, providing a comprehensive understanding of the underlying mechanisms.

Table 2 Cronbach's Alpha result

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.708	11

Cronbach's Alpha is a measure of internal consistency, which assesses how closely related a set of items are as a group. It is commonly used to evaluate the reliability of a scale or test. In Table 2, Cronbach's Alpha is reported as 0.708 for a scale consisting of 11 items. This value indicates an acceptable level of internal consistency, as values above 0.7 are generally considered acceptable in social science research.

The value of Cronbach's Alpha ranges from 0 to 1, with higher values indicating greater reliability. A value of 0.708 suggests that the items on the scale are reasonably correlated and measure the same underlying construct. While a higher alpha indicates better internal consistency, values that are too high (above 0.9) may suggest redundancy among items.

Table 3 Pearson correlation result

	Accommodating	Collaborating	Collaborating	Competing	Avoiding	Competing	Empathy	Listen	Body Language	Speak	Country	Sex	Age
Age	0.083	0.158	0.074	-0.058	0.018	-0.124	0.039	0.066	.210*	.229*	-.497**	.282**	1
	0.403	0.107	0.455	0.559	0.857	0.207	0.690	0.504	0.032	0.019	0.000	0.004	
	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	
Sex	-.192*	-0.080	-0.033	0.078	0.132	0.138	-0.138	-0.086	.223*	0.191	-.270**	1	
	0.050	0.417	0.736	0.430	0.178	0.160	0.159	0.386	0.022	0.051	0.005		
	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105		
Country	-0.120	-0.096	0.134	-0.062	0.003	0.052	0.156	.206*	-0.168	-.223*	1		
	0.223	0.331	0.174	0.529	0.979	0.597	0.113	0.035	0.086	0.022			
	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105			
Speak	0.053	.369**	0.055	0.046	-0.028	0.064	0.059	0.145	.545**	1			
	0.590	0.000	0.579	0.644	0.775	0.515	0.549	0.140	0.000				
	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105				
Body Language	0.079	.260**	0.128	0.091	.278**	0.156	0.067	0.144	1				
	0.422	0.007	0.193	0.357	0.004	0.113	0.497	0.142					
	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105					
Listen	.215*	.234*	0.101	-0.161	0.155	-0.042	.710**	1					
	0.028	0.016	0.306	0.101	0.115	0.672	0.000						
	105	105	105	105	105	105	105						
Empathy	.256**	.338**	.222*	-0.046	0.171	0.170	1						
	0.008	0.000	0.023	0.645	0.080	0.083							
	105	105	105	105	105	105							
Competing	-0.107	0.150	.226*	.489**	.330**	1							
	0.280	0.127	0.020	0.000	0.001								
	105	105	105	105	105								
Avoiding	0.048	0.094	0.179	0.099	1								
	0.626	0.342	0.068	0.314									
	105	105	105	105									
Competing	-.224*	-0.192	-0.089	1									
	0.021	0.050	0.369										
	105	105	105										
Collaborating	.362**	.504**	1										
	0.000	0.000											
	105	105											
Collaborating	.445**	1											
	0.000												
	105												
Accommodating	1												

The Pearson correlation result examines the relationships between various interpersonal and demographic variables, including accommodating, collaborating, competing, avoiding, empathizing, listening, body language, speaking, country, sex, and age. The matrix includes correlation coefficients, significance levels, and sample sizes for each pair of variables.

Sex (coded as 0 for females and 1 for males) shows a significant negative correlation with accommodating (r = -.192, p = 0.050), indicating that females are more likely to adopt an accommodating style. There is also a significant positive correlation between sex and body language (r = .223, p = 0.022), suggesting that males score higher in body language.

The results of the Pearson correlation analysis also enable a rigorous evaluation of various hypotheses concerning the relationships between different interpersonal skills and conflict resolution styles.

H1 posits that individuals with high speaking skills tend to have a collaborating conflict resolution type. This hypothesis is supported by the data, as there is a significant positive correlation between speaking skills and collaborating conflict resolution (r = .369, p = 0.000). Similarly, H2 suggests that people with high body language skills also tend to adopt a collaborating conflict resolution style, which is confirmed by a significant positive correlation (r = .260, p = 0.007).

H3 hypothesizes that individuals with high body language skills tend to have a competing conflict resolution type. This hypothesis is rejected, as there is no significant correlation between body language skills and competing conflict resolution (r = 0.156, p = 0.113).

H4 states that people with high listening skills are more likely to adopt a collaborating conflict resolution style. The data supports this hypothesis, showing a significant positive correlation (r = .234, p = 0.016). Similarly, H5 posits that individuals with high empathizing skills tend to use an accommodating conflict resolution style. This is also supported by the data, with a significant positive correlation (r = .256, p = 0.008).

H6 hypothesizes that people with high empathizing skills tend to have an avoiding conflict resolution type, but this is rejected as there is no significant correlation (r = 0.171, p = 0.080). Additionally, H7 suggests a negative correlation between competing and collaborating conflict resolution styles, is not supported by the data, as the correlation is not significant (r = 0.150, p = 0.127).

H8 and H9 suggest negative correlations between avoiding and collaborating, and avoiding and accommodating conflict resolution styles, respectively. Both hypotheses are rejected as the correlations are not significant (H8: r = 0.094, p = 0.342; H9: r = 0.048, p = 0.626).

In conclusion, the data supports hypotheses H1, H2, H4, and H5, indicating significant positive relationships between speaking, body language, listening, and empathizing skills with collaborating and accommodating conflict resolution styles. The remaining hypotheses, H3, H6, H7, H8, and H9, are rejected due to the absence of significant correlations, indicating no strong relationship between these variables.

Based on the results and literature review, there are some relationships between interpersonal communication skills and conflict resolution styles. The Pearson correlation analysis reveals significant correlations between certain interpersonal skills and conflict resolution styles, which align with the findings from the literature review.

The literature review emphasizes the importance of interpersonal communication skills, including speaking, body language, listening, and empathizing, in effective conflict resolution. For instance, empirical studies highlighted that speaking skills are crucial for articulating ideas clearly and fostering constructive dialogues. Based on Behfar, Peterson, Mannix and Trochim [1] research, speaking skills facilitate the clear articulation of ideas, promote constructive dialogue, and help in managing and resolving conflicts, thereby enhancing overall effectiveness. This aligns with our finding of a significant positive correlation between speaking skills and the collaborating conflict resolution style, supporting the notion that individuals who are adept at speaking can facilitate collaborative environments, promoting mutual understanding and cooperation.

Similarly, body language skills are noted to be vital in conveying non-verbal cues that express emotions and intentions, reinforcing verbal communication. According to Uzun [4], non-verbal cues such as nodding, leaning forward, and maintaining appropriate eye contact can convey attentiveness and empathy, making the other party feel heard and valued. The significant positive correlation between body language skills and the collaborating conflict resolution style observed in the results is consistent with this literature, which underscores the role of non-verbal communication in building rapport and trust, essential for collaboration.

Listening skills, as discussed in the literature, are fundamental for understanding underlying emotions and fostering a collaborative environment. Based on Kluger and Itzchakov [7] findings, active listening helps in de-escalating conflicts by making the other party feel heard and understood, fostering an environment conducive to open communication. Our results show a significant positive correlation between listening skills and the collaborating conflict resolution style, corroborating the literature's assertion.

Empathizing skills are crucial for understanding and sharing the feelings of others, which is pivotal in conflict resolution. According to Taylor, O'Driscoll, Dautel and McKeown [9], empathy plays a pivotal role in conflict resolution by enabling individuals to offer high-quality apologies that address the victim's needs and promote reconciliation. The significant positive correlation between empathizing skills and the accommodating conflict resolution style found in the results aligns with this literature, which highlights empathy's role in prioritizing others' needs and maintaining harmony.

The lack of significant correlation between body language skills and the competing conflict resolution style, as well as between empa-

thizing skills and the avoiding conflict resolution style, suggests that these skills may not be as influential in more adversarial or withdrawal-based approaches to conflict resolution. The literature also supports this, indicating that competing styles prioritize assertiveness over cooperation, while avoiding styles sidestep confrontation, neither of which aligns well with the empathetic understanding or the non-verbal communication nuances of body language[12, 14].

The absence of significant negative correlations between competing, avoiding, and collaborating or accommodating conflict resolution styles in the results indicates that these styles may not be mutually exclusive as previously hypothesized. As suggested by Jordan and Troth [15] and Kay and Skarlicki [16], conflict resolution is a multifaceted process where different styles can be employed depending on the context and nature of the conflict.

In conclusion, the findings from the Pearson correlation analysis are largely consistent with the literature review, validating the significant positive relationships between key interpersonal communication skills and collaborative and accommodating conflict resolution styles. This underscores the importance of these skills in fostering effective and harmonious conflict resolution.

Conclusion and Suggestions

The results of the Pearson correlation analysis, supported by the literature review, demonstrate significant relationships between interpersonal communication skills and conflict resolution styles. The findings highlight that speaking skills, body language, listening, and empathizing are crucial for effective conflict resolution, particularly in collaborative and accommodating styles.

Speaking skills are essential for clear articulation and constructive dialogue, while body language reinforces verbal communication through non-verbal cues, fostering rapport and trust. Listening skills help de-escalate conflicts by making parties feel heard, and empathizing skills promote reconciliation by addressing others' feelings and needs.

The lack of significant correlations between body language and competing styles, and between empathizing and avoiding styles, suggests these skills are less relevant in adversarial or withdrawal-based approaches. Also, the absence of significant negative correlations among competing, avoiding, collaborating, and accommodating styles indicates that these styles are not mutually exclusive, supporting the view that conflict resolution is a multifaceted process. The study underscores the importance of key interpersonal communication skills in fostering effective and harmonious conflict resolution, aligning well with existing literature.

Based on the findings and their alignment with existing literature, several practical suggestions can be made to enhance conflict resolution effectiveness through the improvement of interpersonal communication skills. Organizations and educational institutions should develop comprehensive training programs focused on enhancing speaking, listening, body language, and empathizing skills. Regular workshops and seminars emphasizing non-verbal communication, active listening, and empathy, along with role-playing exercises, can provide practical experience in these areas. Establishing feedback mechanisms where individuals can receive constructive feedback on their communication styles and conflict resolution approaches will foster continuous personal development.

Fostering a collaborative culture within organizations where employees feel comfortable expressing their ideas and concerns can lead to more effective conflict resolution and a more harmonious workplace. Prioritizing empathy training is crucial, as it helps individuals understand and share the feelings of others, leading to more considerate and effective conflict resolution. Developing organizational frameworks that encourage the use of collaborative and accommodating conflict resolution styles and continuously monitoring and adapting these programs based on feedback and emerging research will ensure they remain relevant and effective. By implementing these suggestions, individuals and organizations can significantly improve their conflict resolution capabilities, leading to more effective and harmonious interactions and ultimately enhancing interpersonal relationships and organizational productivity.

References

[1]   BEHFAR K J, PETERSON R S, MANNIX E A, et al. The critical role of conflict resolution in teams: A close look at the links between conflict type, conflict management strategies, and team outcomes [J]. Journal of applied psychology, 2008, 93(1): 170.

[2]   KIM S, APPELBAUM N P, BAKER N, et al. Patient safety over power hierarchy: a scoping review of healthcare professionals' Speaking-up skills training [J]. The Journal for Healthcare Quality (JHQ), 2020, 42(5): 249-63.

[3]   SUDARMO S. The importance of speaking in English as a foreign language between skillful and thoughtful competencies: studying sociolinguistics perspectives [J]. Linguistics and Culture Review, 2021, 5(S1): 113-24.

[4]   UZUN G Ö. A review of communication, body language and communication conflict [J]. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, 2020, 24(9): 2833-44.

[5]   ADEJIMOLA A S. Language and communication in conflict resolution [J]. Journal of law and conflict resolution, 2009, 1(1): 001-9.

[6]   PéREZ-YUS M C, AYLLóN-NEGRILLO E, DELSIGNORE G, et al. Variables associated with negotiation effectiveness: The role of mindfulness [J]. Frontiers in psychology, 2020, 11: 1214.

[7]   KLUGER A N, ITZCHAKOV G. The power of listening at work [J]. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 2022, 9: 121-46.

[8]   COSTIGAN R D, BRINK K E. Developing listening and oral expression skills: Pillars of influential oral communication [J]. Journal of Management Education, 2020, 44(2): 129-64.

[9]   TAYLOR L K, O'DRISCOLL D, DAUTEL J B, et al. Empathy to action: Child and adolescent out-group attitudes and prosocial behaviors in a setting of intergroup conflict [J]. Social Development, 2020, 29(2): 461-77.

[10] READ H. Empathy and common ground [J]. Ethical Theory and Moral Practice, 2021, 24(2): 459-73.

[11] SCHUMANN K, DRAGOTTA A. Empathy as a predictor of high-quality interpersonal apologies [J]. European Journal of Social Psychology, 2021, 51(6): 896-909.

[12] AUBERT V. Competition and dissensus: Two types of conflict and of conflict resolution [J]. Journal of Conflict Resolution, 1963, 7(1): 26-42.

[13] YANG Y-F. Transformational leadership in the consumer service workgroup: Competing models of job satisfaction, change commitment, and cooperative conflict resolution [J]. Psychological Reports, 2014, 114(1): 33-49.

[14] TEHRANI H D, YAMINI S. Personality traits and conflict resolution styles: A meta-analysis [J]. Personality and Individual Differences, 2020, 157: 109794.

[15] JORDAN P J, TROTH A C. Managing emotions during team problem solving: Emotional intelligence and conflict resolution [M]. Emotion and Performance. CRC Press. 2021: 195-218.

[16] KAY A A, SKARLICKI D P. Cultivating a conflict-positive workplace: How mindfulness facilitates constructive conflict management [J]. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 2020, 159: 8-20.